

Study on Treatment of Sacrococcygeal Pilonidal Sinus By Limbergflap Versus Primary Closure At Bhagalpur

Dr. Jai Prakash Sinha ,Senior Resident, Dept. of Surgery., JLN medical College, Bhagalpur

Dr. Upendra Nath, Professor, , Dept. of Surgery. , JLN medical College, Bhagalpur

Dr. Chandramauli Upadhyay, Associate Professor, Dept .of Surgery, J.L.N Medical College, Bhagalpur **Corresponding Author:** Dr. Jai Prakash Sinha ,Senior Resident, Dept.of Surgery., JLN medical College, Bhagalpur **Type of Publication:** Original Research Paper

Conflicts of Interest: Nil

Abstract

Sacrococcygeal Pilonidal Sinus isan acquired chronic intermittent disease, usually seen in young adult especially males. This study was undertaken to compare the result of rhomboid excision followed by Limberg flap with that of excision and Primary closure in patient with Primary Pilonidal sinus. A total of 20patients with Pilonidal sinus were divided randomly into group A who under went excision and primary closure (n-10) and group B who underwent the rhomboid excision and Limberg flap Procedure(n-10). Length of hospital stay.Postoperative complication were fewer ingroup B. Two year follow up shows no recurrence in group B but 1 patient developed recurrence in group A. Limberg flap procedure for sacrococcygeal pilonidal sinus is better than simple excision and primary closure, in terms of post-operative pain, infection rates, and early return to work with almost nil recurrences.

Keywords: Pilonidal sinus, simple closure,Limberg flap Introduction

Sacrococcygeal Pilonidal sinus is achronicintermittent disease usually of adult age group especially male, causing significant morbidity. It is essentially a cleavage between the buttocks (i.e. natalcleft), and diagnosis is made by identifying the epithelialized follicleopening (i.e. sinus). As far as etiology is concerned, a widely acceptable view isthat they are caused by local trauma, poor hygiene, excessive hairness and presence of deep natal cleft^[1].Karydakis proposed three main factors causing the disease, namely high quantity of hair, extreme force and vulnerability to infection^[2]. For more than hundred years, surgeons have been treating this disease by various treatment modalities, including simple incision and drainage, lying open marsupialization, excision and primary closure, a rhomboid excision with Limberg flap procedure^[3]. There havebeen many studies reporting a recurrence rate of 7%-42% following excision and closure^[4]. Limbergrhomboid primary flap for sacrococcygealpilonidal sinus was designed by Limbergin 1946^[5] who described a technique forclosing a 60° rhombus-shaped defect with a transposition flap.

Literature study showed that Limber flap reconstruction following rhomboidexcision of the sinus area was superior toprimary closure^[6] and other flapprocedures^[7] and a safe and reliablemethod in sacrococcygeal pilonidal sinusdisease with low complication and recurrence rate.

Patients and Methods

The study was conducted between year2011 and 2015, at JLMNCH Bhagalpurwhereby 20 patients with pilonidal sinusin the sacrococcygeal area were seen and included in the study. Patientsrandomly divided into two groups, group A with excision and primary closure (n-10), group

Dr. Jai Prakash Sinha, et al. International Journal of Medical Sciences and Advanced Clinical Research (IJMACR)

B with Limberg flap procedure (n-10). Allpatients operated under spinal anaesthesia. Methylene Blue dye used to stain sinus tract. The debridement wasadequate and complete in both the group and further there was no difficulty in primary closure. Suction drain was routinely used in both groups. In group A, a vertical elliptic incision was made and lesion excised after reachingsacrococcygeal fascia and wound closed primarily. In group B, a rhomboid-shaped incision was made with each side equal in length around the mouth of the sinus, The incision deepened and lesion excised. The rhomboid flap was then rotated from gluteal fascia to the excised area, without tension using interrupted suture, skin and subcutaneous tissue sutured. Skin suture removed on 10th Postoperative day. Length of hospital stay, duration of inability to work, post- operative infection, wound dehiscence, and post -operative recurrence were noted. The patient was regularly followed up for a period of 2years.

Result

There was no significant difference between the two groups with respect to age and sex.

The operating time was longer in groupB. Morbidity in 3 patients in group A(infection in 2 patients, wound dehiscence in 1 patient). The duration of hospital stay was longer in group A. The median of duration of inability to work was 20 days in group A and 9 days ingroup B. recurrence was detected in 1 patient in group A. No recurrence ingroup B.

Discussion

The treatment for sacrococcygealpilonidal sinus aims to provide cure but with a low rate of complication and recurrence and further to avoid prolonged hospitalization and ensure early return to work ^[4]

Although in the present study the operation time was longer in Limberg flap group, the hospital stay, inability to return to work, wound related complication and disease recurrence were significantly less compared to primary closure group.

The aim of this study was to compare Limberg flap procedure and the primary closure in the treatment of sacrococcygeal pilonidal sinus.

Table - Comparison of Pa	rocedureoutcome
--------------------------	-----------------

	Group A	Group B
Operation time(min)	50	75
Total hospital stay	5	
(days) Wound infection	2	0
Dehiscence of	1	0
wound Duration of	20	9
inability to work (days)		
Recurrence	1	0

Conclusion

Rhomboid excision and Limberg flap closure is preferable to simple excision and primary closure in the treatment forsacrococcygeal pilonidal sinus, other advantage of Limberg flap closure are quick healing time, short hospital stay and early return to daily life.

These finding were comparable with thestudy by Akca et al^[4]. Akin et al studies the records of 411 patients with the pilonidal sinus disease, who underwent rhomboid excision and Limbergflg a procedure is effective and has a low complication rate, short time for returning to normal activity and short hospitalization ^[8].wound infection and dehiscence were observed in 2 and 1patientrespectively in group A but none in group B. None of the patient in the Limberg flap group had a recurrence.

Dr. Jai Prakash Sinha, et al. International Journal of Medical Sciences and Advanced Clinical Research (IJMACR)

References

1.Bascom J (1980) Pilonidal disease:origin from follicles of hairs and results of follicle removal as treatment Surgery 87:567-572

2.Karydakis GE (1992) Easy and successful treatment of pilonidal sinus after explanation of its causative process. Aust NZJ Surg62:385-389

3.Al-Hassan HK, Francis IM. NeglenP(1990) Primary closure or secondarygranulation after excision of pilonidalsinus. Acta Chin Seand156(3):695-699

4.AkeaT. Colak T, Ustunso B, KanikA.Aydin S (2005) Randomized clinicaltrial comparing primary closure withthe Limberg flap in the treatment ofprimary sacrococcygeal pilonidaldisease. Brit J Surg 92:1081-1084 5.Wolfe SA, Limberg AA, M.D.1894-1974 (1975) Plastic

and reconstructive surgery

S56(2):239-240

6.Akca T, Colak T (2005) Primaryclosure with Limberg flap intreatment of pilonidalsinus-randomized clinical trial. BJS5074:1081-1084

7.Azab AS, Kamal MS, SaadRA,Abount AL, Atta KA, Ali NA (1984)Radical cure of pilonidal sinus by a transposition rhomboid flap. BJS71(2):154-155

8.Akin M, Gokbayir H, Kilic K, TopgulK, Ozdemir E, Ferahkose 2 (2008)Rhomboid excision and Limbergflapfor managing pilonidal sinus:long-term results in 411 patients.Colorectal Diseases 10(9):945-948