

International Journal of Medical Science and Advanced Clinical Research (IJMACR) Available Online at: www.ijmacr.com Volume – 2, Issue – 4, July - August - 2019, Page No. : 78 - 84

# The Predictive Performance of Blood Glucose Prognosticators And There's Correlations With Major Clinical **Outcomes In Critically Ill Patients Who Are Taking Cryst-Insulin Infusion**

Qasim Mohammad Khamaiseh; MD, "Moh'd Nour" Mahmoud Bani Younes; Ph, Sameer Mahmoud Al-Shayyab; MD, Hussien Muhammad Al Shebli; MD, Mohammed Ali Obeidat; MD, Basel Naem Al-Rawashdeh; MD, Jaafar Abd

Alrahman Abu Abeeleh; Ph, Razan M. Y. Fannoun; PharmD, Sundos Hassan Alabbadi; PharmD.

<sup>1</sup>King Hussein Medical Hospital, Jordanian Royal Medical Services, Amman, Jordan.

Corresponding Author: "Moh'd Nour" Bani Younes, Clinical Pharmacy Specialist, MSc Clinical Pharmacy, BCPS, BCCCP, BCNSP, BCACP, BCIDP, Chief of EN and TPN Unit, King Hussein Medical Hospital, King Abdullah II St 230, Amman 11733, Jordanian Royal Medical Services.

Type of Publication: Original Research Article

**Conflicts of Interest: Nil** 

## Abstract

**Objectives:** Stress hyperglycemia is commonly encountered in critically ill patients and is associated with increased morbidity and mortality. Primarily, we aim to investigate the predictive efficacies of percentage variation in blood glucose (%BGvar), average blood glucose (BG<sub>avg</sub>), and maximum blood glucose (BG<sub>max</sub>) as prognosticators of overall 28-day ICU mortality. Also, we intend to evaluate the optimal cutoff points of these three tested prognosticators.

Methods: A retrospective analysis was conducted for 188 eligible patients admitted to our adult ICU between April 2017 and Sep 2018. Patients who died or were discharged before completing at least 1 week after ICU admission were excluded. An Independent and One Sample T-tests were conducted to analyze the continuous variables; while Chi Square was conducted to analyze the ordinal variables. A Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) and Sensitivity Analysis were conducted to compare the prognostic abilities and optimal cutoff points of the three tested prognosticators on the overall 28-day ICU mortality.

**Results:** The Means±SDs of BG<sub>max</sub>, BG<sub>avg</sub>, and %BG<sub>var</sub> were significantly lower in Survivors than in Nonsurvivors (227.6±10.2 mg/dl, 185.5±3.52 mg/dl, and 45.4%±11.3% vs. 250.0±26.7 mg/dl, 193.4±7.51 mg/dl, and  $58.1\% \pm 20.3\%$ ). The best cut-off values for  $\% BG_{var}$ ,  $BG_{avg}$ , and  $BG_{max}$  were 55.6%, 188.5 mg/dl, and 241.5 mg/dl for overall 28-day ICU mortality. The AUROC curve of %BGvar (0.923; 95%CI, 0.877-0.969) in this study was significantly greater than that of  $BG_{avg}$  (0.874; 95% CI, 0.802-0.947) and BG<sub>max</sub> (0.865; 95% CI, 0.791-0.939).

**Conclusion:** %BG<sub>var</sub> is more predictive effective with high sensitivity, prognosticator specificity. performance, and accuracy when compared with BG<sub>avg</sub>, and BG<sub>max</sub> to forecast overall 28-day ICU mortality in hyperglycemic critically ill patients receiving a crystalinsulin infusion.

Keywords: Blood glucose, Critically, Cryst-Insulin infusion, Mortality, Stress hyperglycemia.

#### Introduction

Hyperglycemia during stress is precipitated by a congregate of cytokine, hormonal and nervous effects on

glucose metabolism.<sup>[1,2]</sup> Hence, it's unsurprising that hyperglycemia is routinely encountered in critically ill patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU), irrespective of the reason behind admission<sup>.[3-5]</sup>This phenomenon was first explained by the French physiologist Claude Bernard in 1855 and is described as stress hyperglycemia.<sup>[2]</sup> A blood glucose level >140 mg/dL in non-diabetic patients or glycated hemoglobin (HBA1c)>6.5% are the defining characteristics of stress hyperglycemia.<sup>[5]</sup> Notably, stress hyperglycemia appears to be a marker of disease severity and is an established of morbidity mortality.<sup>[6]</sup> predictor and Stress hyperglycemia can be explained by the surge of counterregulatory hormone levels associated with stressful conditions.<sup>[7,8]</sup> Among other factors,  $\beta$ -2 adrenergic stimulation secondary to elevated catecholamine levels, stimulates gluconeogenesis and hepatic glycogenolysis, which is thought to be a substantial factor engendering stress hyperglycemia<sup>.[9,10]</sup>

Mortality is the worst clinical consequence in the ICU setting. Early prediction of mortality in critically ill patients can help stratify patients and promptly provide the best treatment. Therefore, predicting mortality risk is a major priority of ICU care. Several prognostic indices have been suggested to predict the risk of critically ill patient's mortality, but some predictive indices are too complicated to calculate the mortality probability. As a result, there has been an increasing need to establish simplified clinical mortality indicators while maintaining the prognostic accuracy and performance of the prognosticator. In clinical practice, several aspects must be taken into account in the management of critically ill patients with stress hyperglycemia: including average BG targets (BG<sub>avg</sub>), acceptable maximum BG (BG<sub>max</sub>), maximum allowable percentage variation in BG (%BGvar), and route of nutrition (enteral or parenteral), which

substantially increases the workload of providers involved in the patients' care. The aim of our study is to investigate the predictive efficacy of  $BG_{max}$ ,  $BG_{avg}$ , and  $BG_{var}$  on the overall 28-day ICU mortality. Additionally, we aim to evaluate the optimal cut-off points of these three tested prognosticators.

### **Material and Methods**

This was a single-center observational retrospective study conducted in the departments of King Hussein Medical Center (KHMC) at Royal Medical Services (RMS) in Jordan. This study was approved by our Institutional Review Board (IRB), and a requirement for consent was waived owing to its retrospective design. This study included 188 hyperglycemic critically ill patients. Flow chart of our studied patients' selection and data collection process is fully illustrated in **Figure 1**.

An Independent and One Sample T-tests were conducted to analyze the continuous variables and to express them as Mean±SD in Survivors group, Nonsurvivors group, and overall tested critically ill patients while Chi Square test was conducted to analyze the ordinal variables and to express them as a number of participants (percentage). Furthermore, an independent T-test was conducted to express the Mean differences±SEMs for the tested continuous variables between Survivors group and Nonsurvivors group. A Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve followed by sensitivity analysis was used to determine the area under the ROC (AUROC) curves, predictive performances, and the optimal cut-off values for BG<sub>max</sub>, BG<sub>avg</sub>, and %BG<sub>var</sub>. Youden indices, sensitivities, specificities, positive and negative predictive values, and accuracy indices were also calculated. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS ver. 25 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and P-values ≤0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Excluded (N=725) Excluded beacuse they were either discharged or died before completed at least 1 week after admission (N=573). Excluded because patient's data couldn't be obtained or were incompleted (N=152). All analysis data were collected, assessed, or calculated from our Hakeem). The primary outcomes in our study were to assess IG 28-day ICU mortality and to determine predictive efficacy of BG regarding the tested outcomes . Other aim of our study were to three tested prognosticators in our studied hypergy Fig 1. Flow chart of hyperglycemic critically ill patient's selection and data or Apr: April. CRP: C-reactive protein.	nts (N=913)								
All analysis data were collected, assessed, or calculated from our (Hakeem). The primary outcomes in our study were to assess IC 28-day ICU mortality and to determine predictive efficacy of BQ regarding the tested outcomes . Other aim of our study was to o three tested prognosticators in our studied hypergly Fig 1. Flow chart of hyperglycemic critically ill patient's selection and data or Apr: April. CRP: C-reactive protein. I	Included in analysis (N=188) Ided beacause baseline demographics, pometrics, chemistries including not less 9 BG readings per day, CRP, ALB, and nutritional data were known.								
Fig 1. Flow chart of hyperglycemic critically ill patient's selection and data c Apr: April. CRP: C-reactive protein. I	All analysis data were collected, assessed, or calculated from our institutional electronic medical records (Hakeem). The primary outcomes in our study were to assess ICU and overall hospital LOS and overall 28-day ICU mortality and to determine predictive efficacy of BG <sub>ava</sub> , and %BG <sub>var</sub> prognosticators regarding the tested outcomes. Other aim of our study was to mear. BG <sub>avg</sub> , and %BG <sub>var</sub> prognosticators three tested prognosticators in our studied hyperglycemic critically ill patients.								
Apr: April: CAr: C-reactive protein: 1	ollection process.								
Sen: Sentember. LOS: Length of stay A	ALB: Albumin.								
BG: Blood glucose level. BG===: Maximum blood glucose. E	BGave: Average blood glucose.								
%BG <sub>vari</sub> : Variation percentage of blood glucose levels.									

#### Results

The mean age of our 188 studied hyperglycemic critically ill patients was 58.94±10.4 years in which 131 patients (69.7%) of the eligible sample were male and 57 patients (30.3%) were female. The overall 28-day ICU mortality was 40.4% (76 patients). The ICU and overall hospital LOS were 12.76±4.95 days and 17.07±6.98 days with Mean differences±SEM of -8.58±0.39 days and -13.6±0.29 days, respectively. The Means±SDs of albumin level (ALB), total calorie input (TCI), systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), mean arterial pressure (MAP), total corrected calcium (cCa), total magnesium level (Mg), and phosphate level (P) were significantly higher in Survivors than in Nonsurvivors (2.45±0.14 g/dl, 676.8±77.9 Cal/day, 111.8±3.15 mmHg, 66.7±3.20 mmHg, 81.8±3.15 mmHg, 8.14±0.24 mg/dl, 1.99±0.09 mg/dl, and 3.29±0.28 mg/dl vs 2.27±0.16 g/dl, 614.7±67.1 Cal/day, 96.4±16.1 mmHg, 51.0±16.5 mmHg, 66.8±14.7 mmHg, 7.91±0.29 mg/dl, 1.77±0.24 mg/dl,  $3.39\pm0.49$  mg/dl, and  $3.00\pm0.38$  mg/dl, respectively). While the Means±SDs of c-reactive protein (CRP) and CRP to ALB ratio (CRP: ALB) were significantly higher in Nonsurvivors than in Survivors (15.9±4.93 mg/dl and 7.26±2.95 vs 11.3±2.39 mg/dl and 4.69±1.25). The Means±SDs of BG<sub>max</sub>, BG<sub>avg</sub>, and %BG<sub>var</sub> were

significantly lower in Survivors than in Nonsurvivors (227.6±10.2 mg/dl, 185.5±3.52 mg/dl, and 45.4%±11.3% 250.0±26.7 mg/dl, 193.4±7.51 mg/dl, vs and 58.1%±20.3%) with Mean differences±SEM between Survivors group and Nonsurvivors group of -22.5±2.78  $-7.90\pm0.82$ mg/dl, mg/dl, and  $-12.7\% \pm 2.31\%$ , respectively. Demographics, anthropometrics, and followup comparison data of the study's hyperglycemic critically ill patients are fully summarised in Table 1.

**Table 2** shows the optimal cut-off point, sensitivity (TPR), specificity (TNR), Youden index (YI), positive and negative predictive values (PPV and NPV), accuracy index (AI), and AUROC curves. We demonstrated that the best cut-off values for %BG<sub>var</sub>, BG<sub>avg</sub>, and BG<sub>max</sub> in our study were 55.6%, 188.5 mg/dl, and 241.5 mg/dl for overall 28-day ICU mortality. The AUROC curve of %BG<sub>var</sub> (0.923; 95%CI, 0.877-0.969) in this study was significantly greater than that of BG<sub>avg</sub> (0.874; 95% CI, 0.802-0.947) and BG<sub>max</sub> (0.865; 95% CI, 0.791-0.939). The ROC curve analysis of our three tested prognosticators for the overall 28-day ICU mortality is fully illustrated in **Figure 2**.

### Discussion

Both hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia have been associated with an increased risk of mortality in specific cases.<sup>[3,7,11]</sup> Hyperglycemia is linked to a continuum of deleterious mechanisms. including: inflammation. thrombosis, and an increment in oxidative stress, which is attributed to a higher risk of mortality.<sup>[12]</sup> While Furukawa et al<sup>[3]</sup> linked hypoglycemia to increased mortality along with hypoalbuminemia in septic patients. Accumulating data suggest the importance of %BGvar as a prognosticator of mortality.<sup>[13-15]</sup> We reiterate this claim while going a step further by conducting what is, to the best of our knowledge, the first study to address the correlation between the three tested hyperglycemic parameters and

mortality. Bearing in mind the scarce resources, we believe that early stratification with highly accessible, reliable, and discriminative predictive tools is imperative to the unstable and high acuity status of the critically ill: to avoid under-triaging or delays in appropriately assigning a higher priority to patients requiring the most care.

In summary, we found  $\[mathcal{BG}_{var}\]$  to be a more predictive effective prognosticator with high sensitivity, specificity, performance, and accuracy when compared with BG<sub>avg</sub>, and BG<sub>max</sub> to forecast overall 28-day ICU mortality in hyperglycemic critically ill patients receiving Cryst-insulin infusion (the overall average rate of Cryst-insulin infusion in the present study was 4.17±2.01 IU/hr which is

equivalent to 99.9±48.2 IU/day). Our analysis justifies the potential use of %BGvar as an additional, readily available red flag bedside assessment tool for critically ill patients cutoff while considering the appropriate point demonstrated in **Table 2**. The present study is limited by its retrospective design, using single-center data, and including only hyperglycemic ICU patients. Nonetheless, our center is an experienced and high-volume unit, so our data may be useful to other centers. A larger, multisite, and prospective study is needed to control for multiple confounders and to clarify the causation between variables, including %BGvar, and mortality.

	Variables	Total (N=188)	Survivors (N=112)	Nonsurvivors (N=76)	Mean difference ± SEM	P-Value			
Age (Yrs)		58.94±10.4	58.91±9.85	58.99±11.2	-0.08±1.55	0.961 (NS)			
Gender	Female	57 (30.3%)	34 (30.4%)	23 (30.3%)		0.560 (NS)			
	Male	131 (69.7%)	78 (69.6%)	53 (69.7%)	-				
BW (Kg)		74.05±10.2	75.27±10.4	72.25±9.79	3.02±1.51	0.047 (S)			
BMI (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> )		25.90±3.97	26.4±3.91	25.1±3.97	1.29±0.58	0.028 (S)			
CRP (mg/dl)		13.19±4.27	11.3±2.39	15.9±4.93	-4.59±0.54	0.000 (S)			
ALB (g/dl)		2.37±0.18	2.45±0.14	2.27±0.16	0.19±0.02	0.000 (S)			
CRP: ALB		5.73±2.45	4.69±1.25	7.26±2.95	-2.57±0.31	0.000 (S)			
TCI (Cal/kg/day)		9.49±0.70	9.81±0.55	9.03±0.64	0.79±0.09	0.000 (S)			
TCI (Cal/day)		651.7±79.6	676.8±77.9	614.7±67.1	62.0±10.9	0.000 (S)			
Carb Cal (Cal/day)		613.4±74.0	635.8±73.0	580.3±62.5	55.5±10.2	0.000 (S)			
% Carb Cal_TCI		94.2%±2.72%	94.0%±2.68%	94.5%±2.76%	-0.44%±0.40%	0.275 (NS)			
NNC_D5 (Cal/day)		306.7±37.0	317.9±36.5	290.2±31.2	27.8±5.12	0.000 (S)			
PD (g/100 Cal)		1.45±0.68	1.49±0.67	1.39±0.69	0.11±0.10	0.275 (NS)			
	SBP (mmHg)	105.6±12.9	111.8±3.15	96.4±16.1	15.4±1.56	0.000 (S)			
DBP (mmHg)		60.34±13.2	66.7±3.20	51.0±16.5	15.6±1.59	0.000 (S)			

Table 1. Baseline and follow-up comparison data of 28-day ICU survival and mortality for the study's hyperglycemic critically ill patients

MAP (mmHg)	75.70±12.1	81.8±3.15	66.8±14.7	15.0±1.43	0.000 (S)			
BG <sub>min</sub> (mg/dl)	140.8±15.2	143.5±12.1	2.1 136.9±18.3 6.62±2.21 0.003 (S)					
BG <sub>max</sub> (mg/dl)	236.7±21.7	227.6±10.2	250.0±26.7	-22.5±2.78	0.000 (S			
BG <sub>avg</sub> (mg/dl)	188.7±6.72	185.5±3.52	193.4±7.51	-7.90±0.82	0.000 (S)			
%BG <sub>var</sub>	50.5%±16.7%	45.4%±11.3%	58.1%±20.3%	-12.7%±2.31%	0.000 (S)			
Cryst-Insulin infusion rate (IU/hr)	4.17±2.01	3.06±0.71	5.80±2.18	-2.74±0.22	0.000 (S)			
Cryst-Insulin infusion dose (IU/day)	99.9±48.2	73.2±17.2	139.2±52.3	-65.9±5.31	0.000 (S)			
Ca (mg/dl)	6.77±0.33	6.92±0.23	6.55±0.34	0.38±0.04	0.000 (S)			
cCa (mg/dl)	8.05±0.29	8.14±0.24	7.91±0.29	0.23±0.04	0.000 (S)			
Mg (mg/dl)	1.90±0.20	1.99±0.09	1.77±0.24	0.23±0.03	0.000 (S)			
K (mEq/l)	3.78±0.46	4.05±0.14	3.39±0.49	0.66±0.05	0.000 (S)			
P (mg/dl)	3.18±0.35	3.29±0.28	3.00±0.38	0.29±0.05	0.000 (S)			
Pre-ICU admission days	4.32±3.95	2.29±1.14	7.32±4.65	-5.03±0.46	0.000 (S)			
ICU stay days	ICU stay days 12.76±4.95		17.9±3.83	-8.58±0.39	0.000 (S)			
Overall hospital stay days	11.6±2.29	25.2±1.41	-13.6±0.29	0.000 (S)				
28-day ICU survival	al 112 (59.6%)							
28-day ICU overall mortality	%)		0.000 (B)					
Values are presented as Mean±SD by	using independent T-test an	d one sample T-test or as numb	per (%) by using Chi square te	st.				
Yrs: Years.		D5: Dextro	se 5%.					
Kg: Kilogram.		SBP: Systo	SBP: Systolic blood pressure.					
BW: Actual body weight.		DBP: Diast	DBP: Diastolic blood pressure.					
BMI: Body mass index.		MAP: Mean	MAP: Mean arterial pressure.					
S: Significant (P-Value <0.05).		BG <sub>min</sub> : Min	BG <sub>min</sub> : Minimum Blood glucose level.					
NS: Nonsignificant (P-Value >0.05).		BG <sub>max</sub> : Max	BG <sub>max</sub> : Maximum blood glucose level.					
N: Number of study's patients.		BG <sub>avg</sub> : Aver	BG <sub>avg</sub> : Average of blood glucose level.					
CRP: C-reactive protein.		%BG <sub>var</sub> : Pe	%BG <sub>var</sub> : Percentage variation in blood glucose level.					
CRP: ALB ratio: C-reactive protein to	albumin level ratio.	IU: Internat	IU: International unit.					
ALB: Albumin level.		Ca: Total ca	Ca: Total calcium level.					
TCI: Total calorie Input.		cCa: Correc	cCa: Corrected total calcium level.					
PD: Protein density.		Mg: Total r	Mg: Total magnesium level.					
Car Cal: Carbohydrate calorie.		K: Correcte	K: Corrected potassium level.					
NNC: Non nutritional calorie.		P. Total ph	P: Total phosphate level.					

Table 2. The optimal cut-off point, sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values, Youden and accuracy indices, and AUROC of the three tested prognosticators for overall 28-day ICU mortality.

Prognostic Indicators		Optimal Cut-off	TPR	FPR	YI	TNR	PPV	NPV	AI	AUROC curve (95% CI)
Overall 28-day ICU mortality	$\mathrm{\%BG}_{\mathrm{var}}$	55.6%	86.8%	0.00%	86.8%	100.0%	100.0%	91.8%	94.66%	0.923 (0.877-0.969)
	BG <sub>avg</sub> (mg/dl)	188.5	94.7%	8.90%	85.8%	91.1%	87.8%	96.2%	92.56%	0.874 (0.802-0.947)
	BG <sub>max</sub> (mg/dl)	241.5	85.5%	0.00%	85.5%	100.0%	100.0%	91.0%	94.14%	0.865 (0.791-0.939)
%BGvar: Percentage variation in blood glucose level.				YI: Youden index.						
BGavg: Average of blood glucose level.				PPV: Positive predictive value.						
BGmax: Maximum blood glucose level.			NPV: Negative predictive value.							
ICU: Intensive care unit.				AI: Accuracy index.						
TPR: True positive rate (sensitivity)			TNR: True negative ratio (specificity).							
FPR: False positive rate.				AUROC: Area under receiver operating characteristic.						



Page 83

#### References

- Ling PR, Smith RJ, Bistrian BR. Hyperglycemia enhances the cytokine production and oxidative responses to a low but not high dose of endotoxin in rats. Crit Care Med. 2005 May;33(5):1084–9.
- Koracevic G, Vasiljevic S, Velickovic-Radovanovic<sup>‡</sup> R, Sakac D, Obradovic S, Damjanovic M, et al. Stress hyperglycemia in acute myocardial infarction. Vojnosanit Pregl Med Pharm J Serbia. 2014 Apr 1;71(9):858–69.
- Furukawa M, Kinoshita K, Yamaguchi J, Hori S, Sakurai A. Sepsis patients with complication of hypoglycemia and hypoalbuminemia are an early and easy identification of high mortality risk. Intern Emerg Med. 2019;
- Liao WI, Wang JC, Chang WC, Hsu CW, Chu CM, Tsai SH. Usefulness of glycemic gap to predict ICU mortality in critically ill patients with diabetes. Med (United States). 2015 Sep 1;94(36).
- Viana MV, Moraes RB, Fabbrin AR, Santos MF, Gerchman F. Assessment and treatment of hyperglycemia in critically ill patients. Rev Bras Ter Intensiva. 2014 Apr 17;26(1):71–6.
- Badawi O, Waite MD, Fuhrman SA, Zuckerman IH. Association between intensive care unitacquired dysglycemia and in-hospital mortality. Crit Care Med. 2012;40(12):3180–8.
- Kosiborod M. Hyperglycemia in Acute Coronary Syndromes: From Mechanisms to Prognostic Implications. Vol. 47, Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinics of North America. W.B. Saunders; 2018. p. 185–202.
- Godinjak A, Iglica A, Burekovic A, Jusufovic S, Ajanovic A, Tancica I, et al. Hyperglycemia in Critically Ill Patients: Management and Prognosis. Med Arch (Sarajevo, Bosnia Herzegovina)

[Internet]. 2015 Jun [cited 2019 Jun 23];69(3):157– 60. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26261382

- Dünser MW, Hasibeder WR. Sympathetic overstimulation during critical illness: Adverse effects of adrenergic stress. Vol. 24, Journal of Intensive Care Medicine. 2009. p. 293–316.
- Dungan KM, Braithwaite SS, Preiser JC. Stress hyperglycaemia. Vol. 373, The Lancet. 2009. p. 1798–807.
- Smith FG, Sheehy AM, Vincent JL, Coursin DB. Critical illness-induced dysglycaemia: Diabetes and beyond. Crit Care. 2010 Nov 5;14(6).
- Brownlee M. The Pathobiology of Diabetic Complications A Unifying Mechanism. Banting Lecture. 2004.
- Sáenz-Abad D, Gimeno-Orna JA, Pérez-Calvo JI. Prognostic importance of glycemic variability on hospital mortality in patients hospitalized in Internal Medicine Departments. Rev Clínica Española (English Ed. 2015 Oct 24;215(9):479–85.
- Godinjak A, Iglica A, Burekovic A, Jusufovic S, Ajanovic A, Tancica I, et al. Hyperglycemia in Critically Ill Patients: Management and Prognosis. Med Arch. 2015 Jun 12;69(3):157.
- 15. Takahashi H, Iwahashi N, Kirigaya J, Kataoka S, Minamimoto Y, Gohbara M, et al. Glycemic variability determined with a continuous glucose monitoring system can predict prognosis after acute coronary syndrome. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2018 Aug 18;17(1).