

## **International Journal of Medical Science and Advanced Clinical Research (IJMACR)** Available Online at: www.ijmacr.com Volume – 3, Issue – 2, March - April - 2020, Page No. : 280 - 291

## Predictive Indices in Outcome of Intracranial Hematomas: Study of 180 Cases and Review of Literature

<sup>1</sup>Sharma Shyamendra Pratap, Mch third year, Department of Pediatric Surgery, Institute of Medical sciences, BHU, Varanasi

<sup>2</sup>Gopal Nitya Nand , Mch, Professor, Neurosurgery unit of Department of General Surgery, S.R.N. Hospital associated with M.L.N Medical college, Allahabad

<sup>3</sup>Chaudhary Raj Kumar, Associate Professor, Department of General Surgery, S.R.N. Hospital associated with M.L.N Medical college, Allahabad

**Corresponding Author:** Sharma Shyamendra Pratap, Mch third year, Department of Pediatric Surgery, Institute of Medical sciences, BHU, Varanasi

Type of Publication: Review Article

**Conflicts of Interest:** Nil

### Abstract

Aim and objective: To investigate various prognostic factors associated and their effect on the neurological outcome among patients of post-traumatic intracranial hematomas.

**Methods:** This was a prospective observational study of 180 patients with a head injury, managed in the P.G. Department of surgery, S.R.N. Hospital associated with M.L.N Medical College, Allahabad from September 2015 to August 2016 a period of 12 months. The factors affecting the outcome were analysed.

**Results:** In this study the youngest patient was 3 year old, and oldest patient was 75 year old. Mean age of patients was 32.99 years. Most favourable outcome was in age group 0-20 year (81.35 % of patients had GOS 5 & 4). Male: Female ratio was approximately 4:1. Patients with GCS 13-15 had 100% favourable outcome. Patients which had hypoxia at admission had only 2.78% (n=5) favourable outcome. Patients with non reactive pupil (18.33%) had no favourable outcome. Patients with EDH had most favourable outcome 91.17% (n=31). Patient with midline shift >5mm on CT scan had only 9.09% (n=2) favourable outcome. Favourable outcome present in 80.85% (n=38) in operated patients and 63.15% (n=84) in conservatively managed patients. Overall 67.78% (n=122) patients had favourable outcome (GOS 4 & 5). **Conclusion:** The unfavourable prognostic factors for traumatic intracranial hematoma are older age (>60), RTA, low GCS (3-8), hypoxia, nonresponsive pupil to light, presence of multiple lesion on CT scan, midline shift > 5 mm, nonoperative lesions, the time elapsed from trauma to surgery > 24 hours.

**Keywords:** Head injury, Glasgow coma scale, Intracranial hematoma, Glasgow outcome scale

## Introduction

Head injuries (TBI) are a steadily increasing and major cause of morbidity mortality, particularly among younger age groups in the second to fourth decades of life. [1,2] Road traffic accidents (RTA) is a leading cause of head injury in teenagers and young adults [3] Head injuries can cause immediate death in 25% of acute trauma victims. More than half of the cases of head trauma are caused by RTA, leading to 70% of all deaths due to brain injury. Amongst the severely injured patients, majority survives with severe disability and few continue to be in a vegetative state. Increasing age is associated with poorer

outcome in patients with head injury [4]. CT scan detects and precisely localizes the intracranial hematomas, brain contusions, oedema.

On the basis of our experiences and other literatures, we e valuated 180 patients of isolated head injuries with diagnosis of intracranial hematomas on CT scan head with questions in mind that which factors best predict functional recovery and mortality for the patients?

### Material and methods

This was a prospective observational study of 180 patients with a head injury, managed in the P.G. Department of surgery, S.R.N. Hospital associated with M.L.N Medical college, Allahabad from September 2015 to August 2016 a period of 12 months. The factors affecting the outcome were analysed.

All variables were categorized which might have been related to the functional recovery and mortality into three groups:

- Clinical variables; gender, age, mechanism of injury, GCS scores (Table 1), pupillary abnormalities, hypoxia at admission.
- 2. CT variables; type of hematoma, midline shift.
- 3. Management variables; operated, non-operated, time elapsed from trauma to surgery.

The patients were divided in 4 age groups; < 20 years, 21-40 years, 41-60 years, >60 years. The GCS score was determined on admission and all patients were divided into three groups; GCS scores of 3 to 8, 9 to 12 and 13 to 15. On the basis of pupillary response patients divided in three groups: responsive, anisocoric, nonresponsive.

#### Table 1: Glasgow Coma Scale

Eye opening	Best verbal response	Best motor					
		response					
		6: obeys					
		command					
	5: oriented	5: localise pain					
4:	4: confused but	4: withdraws					
spontaneous	converse						
3: to speech	3: inappropriate words	3: abnormal					
		flexion					
2: to pain	2:incomprehensible	2: extension					
	sounds						
1: none	1: none	1: none					
Total GCS score : 3 - 15							

Standard treatment guidelines were followed as per requirement. Outcome evaluation was done using the Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOS) score at time of discharge and divided into good (normal, moderate disability) and poor (severe, vegetative, dead) outcome (Table 2).

Table 2: Glasgow Outcome Scale

Good recovery (able to return to work or school)						
Moderate disability (able to live independently,	4					
unable to work or school)						
Severe disability (able to follow commands / unable						
to live independently)						
Vegetative state						
Death	1					

### Results

In this study, the youngest patient with diagnosis of traumatic intracranial hematoma was 3 year old, and oldest patient was 75 year old. Mean age of patients was 32.99 years. Majority of patients (n = 61; 33.89%) were of age group 21 to 40 years followed by the patients n = 59; 32.78%) of age group 0 to 20 year. Most favourable outcome was in age group 0-20 year (81.35 % of patients

had GOS 5 & 4), followed by age group 41- 60 year (76.92 % of patients had GOS 5 & 4). Worst favourable outcome in elderly patients age > 60 years only 38.09 %of patients had GOS 5 & 4. In this study 145 (80.56 %) patients were male and only 35 (19.44%) patients were female. Male:Female ratio was 4:1. 94 (64.82%) male patients had favourable outcome (GOS 5 & 4) and 28 (80.00%) female patients had favourable outcome (GOS 5 & 4). RTA(Road Treffic Accident) was most common mode of Injury (n=109, 60.56%) followed by fall from height (n=50, 27.28%) and assault 11.66% (n=21). Assault Patients had most favourable outcome, GOS 5 and GOS 4 in 100% (n=21) of patients followed by patient of fall from height GOS 5 & 4 in 58% (n=29) Least favourable outcome in patient of RTA in which only 38.5 3% (n=42) patient have GOS 5 & 4.(Table 3)

Most of the patients (n=79, 43.89%) had mild head injury 27.78% (n=50) had moderate head injury and 28.33 (n=51) patient had severe head injury. Patients with GCS 13-15 had 100% favourable outcome. Patient with GCS 9-12 had 76% (n=38) favourable outcome and patient with GCS 3-8 had only 9.8% (n=5) favourable outcome. Hypoxia (SpO<sub>2</sub> <80%) was present in 29.44% (n=53) patients at time of admission and required endotracheal intubation and ventilatory support. Patients which had hypoxia at admission had only 2.78% (n=5) favourable outcome. patient in which hypoxia absent at admission had 92.21% favourable outcome. The patients with Responsive pupil were 61.11% (n=110), Anisocoric Pupil were 20.56% (n=37) and nonresponsive pupil were 18.33% (n=33). Patients with responsive pupil had most favourable outcome 96.36% (n=106). Patient with anisocoric pupil had 43.24% (n=16) favourable outcome and patient with nonresponsive pupil has no favourable outcome.

Most common hematoma on CT scan was contusion/ICH (n=54) of patient followed by multiple in 30% haemorrhages 28.89% (n=52) least common hematoma was SAH, present only in 7.78% ) n=14 patients. Patients with EDH on CT scan had most favourable outcome 91.17% (n=31) followed by patients with multiple haemorrhages in which favourable outcome in 75% (n=39) patient. Patient with SDH had 73.07% (n=19) favourable outcome, opt with SAH had 57.14% (n=8) favourable outcome and patient with contusion had 46.29% (n=25) favourable outcome. The midline shift >5mm present in 12.22% (n=22) of patients. Patient with midline shift >5mm on CT scan had only 9.09% (n=2) favourable outcome. While patient with midline shift <5mm or no midline shift on CT scan had 75.94% (n=120) favourable outcome.

Most of the patients 73.89% (n=133) managed conservatively and only 26.11% (n=47) patient were operated. favourable outcome present in 80.85% (n=38) in operated patients and 63.15% (n=84) in conservatively managed patients. only 14.89% (n=7) patient operated within 24hr after head injury rest of the patients operated after 24hr. favourable outcome present in 100% (n=7) of patient which were operated within 24hr and 77.50% (n=31) patients had favourable outcome which were operated after 24hr. 27.78% (n=50) Patients had good recovery (GOS 5), 40% (n=72) had moderate disability (GOS 4). 7.22% (n=13) had severe disability (GOS 3) and 25% (n=45) were dead (GOS 1). Out of 180 patiens, 67.78% (n=122) patients had favourable outcome (GOS 4 & 5) and 33.22% (n=58) patient had unfavourable outcome (GOS 3-1).(Table 4)

# Table 3: Factors Related to Outcome

			Outcome		Statistical test		
Variables	No. of Patients	percentage	Favourable outcome (GOS 5 & 4)	Unfavourable outcome (GOS 3-1)	P value <0.05 (significant)		
Age Distributi	on						
0-20	59	32.78	48	11	$\chi^2 = 17.09$		
21-40	61	33.89	36	25	P value = 0.00067		
41-60	39	21.67	30	9			
>60	21	11.66	8	13	•		
Sex Distributio	on		1	1			
Male	145	80.56	94	51	$\chi^2 = 2.9719$		
Female	35	19.44	28	7	P value = 0.0842		
Mechanism of	Injury				I		
RTA	109	60.56	42	67	$\chi^2 = 27.93$		
Fall form heig	ht 50	27.28	29	21	P value < 0.0001		
assault	21	11.66	21	0	•		
GCS at admission							
13-15	79	43.89	79	0	$\chi^2 = 117.59$		
9-12	50	27.78	38	12	P value < 0.0001		
3 - 8	51	28.33	5	46			

## Table 4

	s		Outcome		Statistical test			
Variables	No. of Patients	percentage	Favourable outcome (GOS 5 &	Unfavourabl e outcome (GOS 3-1)	P value < 0.05 (significant)			
Hypoxia (SpO <sub>2</sub> <80%)								
Present	53	25.44	5	48	$\chi^2 = 117.08$			
absent	127	70.56	117	10	P value < 0.0001			
Pupillary response								
Responsive	110	61.11	106	4	$\chi^2 =$ 126.10			
					P value			
Anisocoric	37	20.16	16	21	<0.0001			
nonresponsive	37	18.33	0	37				
Type of haematoma				I				
Contusion	54	30.00	25	29	$\gamma^2 = 22.2346$			
EDH	34	18.89	31	31 3				
SDH	26	18.89	19	7	0.00018			
SAH	14	7.78	8	6	0.00010			
multiple	52	28.89	39	13				
hemorrhages								
mid line shift (>5 mm	)							
Present	22	12.22	2	20	$\chi^2 = 39.5255$			
absent	158	87.78	120	38	P value <0.00001			
Management				l				
Operated	47	26.11	38	9	$\chi^2 - 1.0770$			
Non-operated	133	73.89	84	49	$\mathcal{X} = 4.7/17$			
Ĩ					<pre></pre>			
time of surgery	time of surgery							
< 24 hr	7	14.89	7	0	$\chi^2 = 1.948$			
>24 hr	40	85.1	31	9	P value <			
					0.1628			

............

#### Discussion

In this study, factors predicting the outcome of traumatic intracranial hematomas are evaluated. Outcome measured as favourable (GOS 5 & 4) and unfavourable (GOS 3-1). The following factors related to outcome were discussed. Regarding age, this study showed that the young adult group (20-40 years) in the third decade of life were the most affected (n= 61, 33.89%) and mean age of 32.99 years. This was in agreement with many studies.[5,6,7] The possible explanation for the higher frequency of head injury in youth is that the second and third decades of human life are the most active phase in life and thus people in these decades are vulnerable to trauma.

In this study favourable outcome had statistic high significant (p value <0.00067)) relationship with age of the patient. Similar findings have been reported by other authors.[8-12] The mechanism by which age has such an effect on outcome is unknown, but suggestions include a poor regenerative capacity of the older brain and predisposition to develop a more lethal injury.[13]Some of this increased mortality in the elderly may be explained by the intrinsic properties of the ageing brain, pre-existing co-morbidities and complications. Furthermore, the adverse effects of general anaesthesia and surgery may affect the respiratory and circulatory function of the elderly, increasing the severity of brain injury. Therefore, in addition to treating pre-existing diseases to decrease the risk of complications, improved long-term care should be emphasized for elderly surgical patients.[14]

In this study, the male : female ratio were approximately 4:1. This result agreed with the CRASH study, in which 81% were males.[15] The male excess of head injury is attributed to greater exposure and more risk-taking behaviour during occupation or life and traumatic injuries among females remain under reported.[16] There was no significant difference in term of outcome between gender

© 2020, IJMACR, All Rights Reserved

(p = 0.084). Similar results also present in the study done by K.P. Kithiji et al[10], and other authors.[5,11,12] Road traffic accidents were the most common cause of head trauma, which contributed to 60.56% of the total cases. Most of the authors had similar finding.[5,8,12,17] In children, the most common cause of injury was fall from height[18].

The preoperative GCS score was another important predictor of outcome. Many authors reported that there is highly significant correlation between outcome and GCS score at admission. [7,19,20] In this study, 51 patients had a GCS score 8 or less (28.33%). 5 of those patients (9.80%) had favourable outcome (GOS 5&4). 50 patients had GCS score 9-12 (27.78% of total), and 38 of them had favourable outcome (76.00%). 79 patients had GCS score 13 or 15 (43.89% of total) and all patients had favourable outcome (100%). This is agreement with recent studies done by, Dina Ismail Salama et al<sup>[7]</sup> and LU HY et al.<sup>[20]</sup> These findings also confirmed by other studies indicating that the severity of iniurv determines the outcome.[8,19,20,21]

In this study hypoxia was significantly associated with the outcome (p value <0.0001). Hypoxia, being one of the preventable secondary brain insults, greatly affected outcome in patients with severe head injury. In this study, 53 patients had hypoxia at the time of presentation of which only 5 (9.43%) patients had he favourable outcome, as compared to 123 patients (96.86%) of 127 non-hypoxic patients. This is in agreement with some studies. [7,11,22] Patients with normal pupils had better outcome as compared to those with anisocoric pupil & worst outcome was seen in patients with fixed dilated pupils. In this study, 110 patients had reacting pupil 37 patients had anisocoric and 33 patients had fixed dilated pupils. Patients who had bilateral reactive pupils had favourable outcome of 96.37% as compared to 64.77 % and no

Page /

favourable outcome for anisocoric and bilaterally dilated pupils respectively. Many authors reported that patients with bilateral fixed pupils at surgery had a higher mortality rate.[5,8,11,12,23] Thus pupillary abnormalities were strong predictors for poor outcome in patients with traumatic intracranial hematomas (p< 0.0001). It has been postulated that pupillary dilatation is associated with decreased brainstem blood flow and that ischemia rather than mechanical compression of the third cranial nerve is an important causal factor.[24]

In this study, most common hematoma on CT scan was contusion/ICH in 30% (n=54) of Patients followed by multiple hemorrhages 28.89% (n=52) least common hematoma was SAH, present only in 7.78% n=14) patients. In this study, patients with EDH on CT scan had most favourable outcome 91.17% (n=31) followed by patients with multiple hemorrhages in which favourable outcome in 75% (n=39) patients. Patients with SDH had 73.07% (n=19) favourable outcome, patients with SAH had 57.14% (n=8) favourable outcome and Patients with contusion had 46.29% (n=25) favourable outcome. The prognostic value of CT characteristics has been well documented, in this study there is a high significant relation between CT finding and outcome of head injury p value (=<0.00018). This is in agreement with the studies done by K.P. kithiji et al [10], Gupta Prashant K et al. [25] CT scan detect and precisely localized the parenchymal damage of brain and effectively predicted the functional outcome.

In this study, midline shift >5mm present in 12.22% (n=22) of patients. The patients with midline shift >5mm on CT scan had only 9.09% (n=2) favourable outcome. While Patients with midline shift <5mm or no midline shift on CT scan had 75.94% (n=120) favourable outcome. These results are accordance with study done by Dina Ismail Salama et al.[7] Thus presence of mid line shift >

© 2020, IJMACR, All Rights Reserved

5mm is strong predictors for poor outcome in patients with traumatic intracranial hematomas (p < 0.00001).

The decision to operate on head-injured patients is based on: premorbid state, the severity of initial injury, the onset and rapidity of neurological deterioration and Patients assessment on arrival at the neurosurgical unit. Favourable outcome present in 80.85% (n=38) in operated patients and 63.15% (n=84) in medically managed patients. This is in agreement with study done by K.P. kithiji et al.[10] In their study there was a statistically significant increase in mortality in patients who did not undergo surgical intervention (26.1%) as compared to those who were operated (15.7%).

Life-saving surgery should be available within 4 hours of injury and immediately during management on the intensive care unit. Delay in surgical treatment continues to be a major preventable cause of morbidity and mortality.[26] The time from the trauma until surgical decompression also affects the mortality. Some researchers have observed that the sooner surgery is performed in cases of acute head trauma, the better the final results are.[27,28]. In this study operative time was not significantly related to outcome (p value = 0.1628).

Table 5: Comparison of Studies: Results of various studies compared below

S. N.	Parameters	Wu JJ et al	Mwang'omb	K.P. Kithiji	Muhammed	Miguel	Dina Ismail	This study
		$(1999)^{24}$	e et al	et al (2009) <sup>50</sup>	hameed	Rodriuez	Salama et al	2
		(1)))	$(2001)^{27}$	••• ur (=•••)	faeadh	$(2013)^{77}$	$(2015)^{93}$	
			(2001)		$(2011)^{58}$	(2013)	(2013)	
1	No. of	480	(77	(09	(2011)	47	250	190
1		409	077	008	50	4/	550	180
	patients							
2	Age	-	Mortality	Mortality	Older patients	Increase in	Older patients	Younger
			more in	more in	(>60) had poor	mortality	(>60) had	patients (0-
			older patient	older patient	outcome	as patients	poor outcome	20) have
			(26-45	(>60) than		age		best
			years) than	younger		increased		outcome
			younger	patients				and
			patients(< 13					elderly(>6
			years)					0) have
			•					worst
3	Sex	_	_	Not	Not significant	Not	Not significant	Male
	DEX			significant	i tot significant	significant	i tot significant	famala
				significant		significant		-4.1 but
								=4;1 Out
								no
								difference
								in outcome
4	Mode of	RTA most	-	Assault most	RTA most	-	RTA most	RTA most
	injury	common mode		common	common mode		common mode	common
		of injury		mode of	of injury		of injury	mode of
				injury				injury,
								assault had
								good
								outcome
5	GCS at	GCS score	GCS score	Low GCS	Low GCS	Low GCS	Low GCS	GCS at
	admission	significantly	3-4 had	score	score	score and	score	admission
		related to	80%mortalit	significantly	significantly	low GCS	significantly	directly
		surgical	v GCS 7-8	related to	related poor	motor	related to poor	related to
		outcome	y, 0.05 / -0	mortality				
		outcome	nau 32 %	montanty	outcome	score $(< 3)$	outcome	outcome
			mortality			significantl		
						y related to		

						mortality		
						mortanty		
6	Нурохіа	-	-	-	-	Respirator	Hypoxia at	Hypoxia at
						y distress	admission	admission
						significantl	related to poor	related to
						v related to	out come	poor out
						y letated to	outcome	poor out
_						mortanty		come
7	Pupillary	Nonresponsive	Nonresponsi	Nonresponsi	Nonresponsive	Nonrespon	Nonresponsive	Nonrespon
	response	pupil	ve pupil	ve pupil	pupil	sive pupil	pupil	sive pupil
		significantly	significantly	significantly	significantly	significantl	significantly	have worst
		related to poor	related to	related to	related to poor	y related to	related to poor	outcome
		outcome	mortality	mortality	outcome	mortality	outcome	
8	Type of	EDH was	-	EDH was	Acute SDH	SDH and	tSAH was	EDH had
	haematoma	most common		most	and DAI had	multiple	most common,	better
				common	high mortality	iniuries	EDH had good	outcome
				common	ingii mortunty	significantl	out come	than SDH
							outcome	Controlono
						y related to		Contusions
						mortality		/ICH were
								most
								common
								type of
								haematom
								а
9	Mid line	-	-	-	-	-	Mid line shift	Mid line
	shift						>5 mm relate	shift >5
	Sint						to poor out	mm relate
								to many interact
							come	to poor out
								come
10	Manageme	Number of	-	Operated	60 % operated	-	-	Operative
	nt	surgeries		patient had				lesion had
				less				better out
				mortality				come
11	Time of	-	-	Patient	-	-	-	Time of
	surgerv			operated <				surgerv not
				24 hour had				related to

				less				out come
				mortality				
12	Outcome	56.25% good	Overall	Overall	20% good	Overall	47.29% good	Good
		recovery,20.06	mortality	mortality	recovery,16%	mortality	recovery,0%	outcome
		% moderately,	56.2%	18.4%	moderately,	34.04%	moderately,	(GOS 5
		6.7% severely			16% severely		11.42%	&4)
		disabled, 4.4%			disabled, 14%		severely	67.78%
		vegetative,			vegetative,		disabled,	Poor
		12.1% dead			34% dead		13.51%	outcome(G
							vegetative,	OS 3-1)
							24.28% dead	33.22%

**Conclusion:** Head trauma commonly affects the adult male in their productive age group (21 to 40). Older age (> 60 years) group associated with the worse outcome. The unfavourable prognostic factors for traumatic intracranial hematoma are older age (>60), RTA, low GCS (3-8), hypoxia, nonresponsive pupil to light, presence of multiple lesion on CT scan, midline shift > 5 mm, nonoperative lesions, the time elapsed from trauma to surgery > 24 hours.

## References

 Oertel M, Kelly DF, McArthur D, Boscardin WJ, Gle nn TC, Lee JH, et al. Progressive hemorrhage after he ad

trauma: Predictors and consequences of the evolving i njury. J Neurosurg. 2002;96:109–16

- Bajracharya A, Agrawal A, Yam B, Agrawal C, Lewi s O. Spectrum of surgical trauma and associated head injuries at a university hospital in eastern Nepal. J Neu rosci Rural Pract. 2010;1:2–8
- Frankowski RF, Annegers JF, Whitman S. Epidemiological and descriptive studies, Part 1. The descriptive epidemiology of head trauma in the United States. In: Becker DP, Povlishock JT. CENTRAL

# NERVOUS SYSTEM TRAUMA STATUS REPORT. William Byrd Press: Richmond, Va; 1985:33

- Zimmerman RA, Bilaniuk LT, Gennareli T, Bruce D, Dolinskas C, Uzzell B. Cranial Computed Tomography in diagnosis and management of acute head trauma. Am J Roentgenol 1978; 131:27-34.
- Muhammed Hameed Faeadh M.B.Ch.B., F.I.B.M.S. Neurosurgery. Tikrit University. College of medicine. Department of Neurosurgery Functional outcome off severe closed head injury:: A case series study of 50 patients, Tikrit Medical Journal 2011; 17(2): 78-99
- Umerani MS, Abbas A, Sharif S. Traumatic brain injuries: experience from a tertiary care centre in Pakistan. Turk Neurosurg. 2014;24(1):1924.
- 7. Dina Ismail Salama, Ahmed Gaber Maray, and Wassem Hamed. Identification of clinical and radiological predictors of outcome in head trauma patients in the emergency department. Biolife, 3(3), pp 644-652.
- Mwang'ombe NJM, Kiboi J. Factors influencing the outcome of severe head injury at Kenyatta National Hospital. EAMJ 2001;78: 238-41
- 9. Ono J , Yamaura A, Kubota M, Okimura Y, Isobe K.

Outcome prediction in severe head injury: analyses of clinical prognostic factors. J Clin Neurosci. 2001 Mar;8(2):1203.

- Tasaki O, Shiozaki T, Hamasaki T, Kajino K, Nakae H, Tanaka H, Shimazu T, Sugimoto H. Prognostic indicators and outcome prediction model for severe traumatic brain injury. J Trauma. 2009 Feb;66(2):3048.
- Miguel Rodríguez MD, Predicting Mortality from Head Injury: Experience of Sancti Spíritus Province, Cuba. MEDICC Review, July 2013, Vol 15, No 3
- 12. Wang K , Zhao DQ , Zhang JJ , Li YJ , Zhang HD , Shen ZF , Hu B , Wu HB, Risk factors of progressive brain contusion and relationship with outcome, Zhejiang Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban. 2015 Jul;44(4):4106.
- Mosenthal, AC, Lavery, RF, Addis, M, Kaul, S, Ross, S, Marburger, R. Isolated traumatic brain injury: age is an independent predictor of mortality and early outcome. J Trauma. 2002;52:907-911.
- Hukkelhoven CW1 et al, Patient age and outcome following severe traumatic brain injury: an analysis of 5600 patients' Neurosurg. 2003 Oct; 99(4):666-73.
- MRC CRASH Trial Collaborators, Perel P, Arango M, Clayton T, Edwards P, Komolafe E, Poccock S, Roberts I, Shakur H, Steyerberg E, Yutthakasemsunt S. Predicting outcome after traumatic brain injury: practical prognostic models based on large cohort of international patients. BMJ. 2008 Feb 23;336(7641):4259.
- Miguel Rodríguez MD, Predicting Mortality from Head Injury: Experience of Sancti Spíritus Province, Cuba. MEDICC Review, July 2013, Vol 15, No 3
- 17. Khan MB , Riaz M, Javed G, Hashmi FA, Sanaullah M, Ahmed SI. Surgical management of traumatic extra dural hematoma in children: Experiences and

analysis from 24 consecutively treated patients in a developing country Surg Neurol Int. 2013 Aug 13;4:103

- 18. C Pereira, J Monterio, E Santos, L Dias. Subdural Hematoma In Childhood: Considerations About Twenty Cases And Review Of The Literature. The Internet Journal of Pediatrics and Neonatology. 2004 Volume 4 Number 2
- McNett M, A Review of the Predictive Ability of Glasgow Coma Scale Scores in Head-Injured Patients.J NeurosciNurs. 2007; 39(2):68-75
- 20. Lu HY, Li TC, Tu YK, Tsai JC, Lai HS, Kuo LT. Predicting longterm outcome after traumatic brain injury using repeated measurements of Glasgow Coma Scale and data mining methods. J Med Syst. 2015 Feb;39(2):14.
- Stocchetti N, Pagan F, Calappi E, et al. Inaccurate early assessment ofneurological severity in head injury. J Neurotrauma 2004; 21:1131–40.
- 22. Asher SR et al Survival advantage and PaO2 threshold in severe traumatic brain injury: JNeurosurg Anesthesiol. 2013 Apr; 25(2):168-73.
- Wu JJ, Hsu CC, Liao SY, Wong YK. Surgical outcome of traumatic intracranial hematoma at a regional hospital in Taiwan. J Trauma. 1999 Jul;47(1):3943.
- 24. Ritter, AM, Muizelaar, JP. Brainstem blood flow, pupillary response and outcome in patients with severe head injuries. Neurosurg. 1999;44:941-948.
- 25. Gupta Prashant K, Krishna Atul, Dwivedi Amit N, Gupta Kumkum, Bala Madhu, Garg Gouri, Agarwal Shivani, CT Scan Findings and Outcomes of Head Injury Patients: A Cross-Sectional Study. October-December, 2011.

- Kotwica, Z, Brzezinski, J. Acute subdural hematoma in adults: an analysis of outcome in comatose patients. Acta Neurochir (Wien). 1993;121:95-99
- Bullock, MR, Chesnut, R, Ghajar, J, Gordon, D, Hartl, R, Newell, DW. Surgical management of acute subdural hematomas. Neurosurg. 2006;58(3):16-24.
- Leach, P, Childs, C, Evans, J, Johnston, N, Protheroe, R, King, A. Transfer times for patients with extradural and subdural hematomas to neurosurgery in Greater Manchester. Br J Neurosurg. 2007;21:11-15.

**How to citation this article:** Sharma Shyamendra Pratap, Gopal Nitya Nand, Chaudhary Raj Kumar, "Predictive Indices in Outcome of Intracranial Hematomas: Study of 180 Cases and Review of Literature", IJMACR- March -April - 2020, Vol – 3, Issue -2, P. No. 280 – 291.

**Copyright:** © 2020, Sharma Shyamendra Pratap, et al. This is an open access journal and article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution noncommercial License 4.0. Which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.