ISSN: 2581 – 3633
PubMed - National Library of Medicine - ID: 101745081

International Journal of Medical Science and Advanced Clinical Research (IJMACR)

Available Online at:www.ijmacr.com

Volume – 7, Issue – 3, May - 2024, Page No. : 01 – 08

Redefining Facial Contours: The Evolutionary Role of Distraction Osteogenesis in Maxillofacial Regeneration: A comprehensive review

[1]Dr Dhiral Vijayvargiya, [2]Dr Vikram Sharma, [3]Dr Amit Kumar Sharma, [4]Dr Abhishek Pandey, [5]Dr Ritu Upadhyay, [6]Dr Henil Parikh

[1-6]NIMS Dental College and Hospital, Jaipur

Corresponding Author: Dr Abhishek Pandey, NIMS Dental College and Hospital, Jaipur

How to citation this article: Dr Dhiral Vijayvargiya, Dr Vikram Sharma, Dr Amit Kumar Sharma, Dr Abhishek Pandey, Dr Ritu Upadhyay, Dr Henil Parikh, "Redefining Facial Contours: The Evolutionary Role of Distraction Osteogenesis in Maxillofacial Regeneration: A comprehensive review", IJMACR- May - 2024, Volume – 7, Issue - 3, P. No. 01 – 08.

Type of Publication: Review Article

Conflicts of Interest: Nil

Abstract

Distraction osteogenesis is a surgical technique that has revolutionized the field of maxillofacial surgery. This method involves the gradual distraction of bone segments to achieve desired skeletal and soft tissue changes. This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of distraction osteogenesis in maxillofacial surgery, discussing its history, principles, indications, techniques, complications, and outcomes. By understanding the advancements and applications of distraction osteogenesis, clinicians can make informed decisions regarding its incorporation into their clinical practice.

Keywords: Distraction Osteogenesis, Maxillofacial Surgery, Skeletal Changes, Soft Tissue Changes, Clinical Practice.

Introduction

Distraction osteogenesis is a widely used surgical technique in maxillofacial surgery that allows for controlled bone growth and movement. Initially developed by Ilizarov in the early 1950s for limb lengthening, its incorporation into craniofacial surgery has led to significant advancements in the correction of facial skeletal deformities. This review aims to explore the principles, indications, techniques, complications, and outcomes of distraction osteogenesis in maxillofacial surgery, highlighting important clinical considerations[1].

Body

Principles of Distraction Osteogenesis

Biomechanics of Bone Distraction: Distraction osteogenesis relies on the principles of bone biology and biomechanics to achieve successful bone growth and movement. When a bone is gradually distracted, tension is applied to the bone ends, creating a controlled fracture gap. This tension stimulates cellular responses within the bone, including the proliferation of osteoblasts, which are responsible for bone formation. The gradual distraction process allows for new bone to fill in the fracture gap, resulting in the lengthening or repositioning of the bone[2].

Callus Formation and Bone Regeneration

During the distraction process, callus formation plays a critical role in bone regeneration. In response to tension and mechanical stress, mesenchymal stem cells within the surrounding soft tissues differentiate into chondrocytes, forming a cartilage callus. Subsequently, the cartilage callus undergoes endochondral ossification, with the gradual replacement of cartilage with newly formed bone tissue. This transformation is driven by regulatory factors, including growth factors and cytokines, as well as mechanical forces exerted on the bone ends during distraction[3].

Rate, Rhythm, and Latency Period

The rate and rhythm of distraction are important considerations in achieving optimal bone regeneration and avoiding complications. Rapid distraction rates are associated with poorer bone quality, while slower distraction rates allow for improved bone formation and maturation. Rhythm, or the frequency of distraction, also plays a role, with evidence suggesting that intermittent distraction leads to better bone formation compared to continuous distraction. Additionally, a latency period following surgical placement of the distractor is typically observed before initiating the distraction process. This period allows for the establishment of a provisional soft callus, which enhances the stability and success of distraction osteogenesis[4].

Stability and Consolidation Period

After the desired bone length or position is achieved, a consolidation period follows, allowing the newly formed bone to mature and solidify. The stability of the distracted bone segments during this period is crucial for optimal bone healing and minimizing the risk of relapse. External stabilization devices, such as orthodontic archwires or rigid fixation plates, may be used to maintain stability during the consolidation period. This phase allows for the mineralization and remodeling of the newly formed bone, ensuring its long-term stability and integration with the surrounding skeletal structures[5].

Understanding and adhering to these principles of distraction osteogenesis is essential for successful outcomes in maxillofacial surgery. By applying appropriate tension and mechanical forces, ensuring proper rates and rhythm of distraction, considering the latency period, and promoting stability and consolidation, surgeons can expect optimal bone regeneration, improve functional outcomes, and minimize complications[6,7].

Indications for Distraction Osteogenesis

Distraction osteogenesis has a wide range of indications in maxillofacial surgery and can be utilized to address various skeletal deformities and functional problems. Some of the common indications for distraction osteogenesis include:

Maxillary or Mandibular Hypoplasia: Distraction osteogenesis can be used to address underdeveloped or small maxilla and mandible. It is particularly useful in patients with severe retrognathia (recessed lower jaw) or micrognathia (small lower jaw). By gradually lengthening or advancing the jaw, distraction osteogenesis can improve facial aesthetics and correct malocclusion[8].

Mandibular Asymmetry: Patients with facial asymmetry resulting from mandibular discrepancies can benefit from distraction osteogenesis. By selectively lengthening or repositioning the mandible on one side, the surgeon can achieve facial symmetry and improve function[9].

Craniomaxillofacial Microsomia: Distraction osteogenesis is commonly used in the treatment of craniofacial microsomia, a condition characterized by underdevelopment of the facial bones. By gradual distraction, the affected bones can be lengthened or repositioned, restoring facial harmony and improving functional outcomes[10].

Obstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA): For patients with OSA caused by mandibular hypoplasia or retrognathia, distraction osteogenesis can be employed to advance the mandible and increase the airway space. This procedure, known as jaw distraction for OSA, helps alleviate airway obstructions, improving breathing during sleep and reducing the symptoms of OSA[11].

Craniofacial Syndromes: Distraction osteogenesis is used in the management of various craniofacial syndromes, such as Treacher Collins syndrome, Crouzon syndrome, and Apert syndrome. These conditions often present with severe facial and cranial deformities, and distraction osteogenesis can help correct these abnormalities and improve overall facial aesthetics and function[12].

Cleft Lip and Palate Sequelae: Patients with cleft lip and/or palate may experience associated skeletal deformities, such as midface retrusion or maxillary hypoplasia. Distraction osteogenesis can be employed to address these skeletal deficits, facilitating the correction of both hard and soft tissue deficiencies in the affected region[13].

Maxillofacial Trauma and Defects: Distraction osteogenesis is also utilized in cases of maxillofacial trauma or defects, where bone loss or deformities require reconstruction. By gradually lengthening or repositioning the bone segments, distraction osteogenesis facilitates bone regeneration and restoration of facial symmetry[14].

It is important to note that patient selection and comprehensive evaluation are critical in determining the suitability of distraction osteogenesis for each individual case. Factors such as age, overall health, extent of skeletal deformity, and expected functional and aesthetic outcomes are taken into consideration by the surgeon before proceeding with the procedure. Additionally, a thorough preoperative assessment, including 3D imaging and treatment planning, helps in understanding the specific needs of the patient and planning the distraction osteogenesis procedure accordingly[15].

Techniques of Distraction Osteogenesis

There are several techniques used in distraction osteogenesis, each with its own advantages and considerations. Some common techniques include:

Monofocal Technique: In this technique, a single osteotomy (bone cut) is performed, and the distractor is placed at the site of the osteotomy. This technique is often used in cases of bone lengthening, where the distractor is placed at the ends of the bone segments to create tension and promote bone growth in the distraction gap[16].

Bifocal Technique: In the bifocal technique, two osteotomies are performed, and two distractors are placed on either side of the segment to be lengthened or repositioned. This technique allows for simultaneous distraction in both segments and is commonly used in cases of large skeletal discrepancies or when multiple bone segments need to be addressed[17].

Multifocal Technique: The multifocal technique involves the placement of several distractors along the length of a bone segment. This technique allows for controlled movement and shaping of the bone in multiple directions and can be beneficial in complex deformities or when precise control over bone positioning is needed[18].

Transverse Osteotomy Technique: In this technique, a transverse osteotomy is performed perpendicular to the long axis of the bone, allowing for controlled movement of the bone segment in multiple directions. This technique is commonly used in cases of facial asymmetry or angular deformities[19].

Horizontal or Vertical Distractor Placement: The orientation of distractor placement can vary depending on the desired movement and the anatomy of the bone. Horizontal distractor placement is often used for lengthening or transverse movements, while vertical distractor placement is suitable for vertical movements or rotation[20].

Minimally Invasive Techniques: Minimally invasive techniques, such as percutaneous or subperiosteal distractor placement, involve smaller incisions and reduced soft tissue dissection compared to traditional approaches. These techniques result in less scarring, faster recovery, and reduced morbidity for patients[21].

Sagittal Osteotomy: In this technique, a horizontal osteotomy is made along the sagittal plane of the bone. The distractor device is then placed and gradually expanded to create tension and allow for new bone formation. This technique is commonly used for lengthening or advancing the maxilla or mandible in cases of hypoplasia or retrognathia[22].

Transverse Osteotomy: A transverse osteotomy involves making a vertical bone cut perpendicular to the long axis of the bone. By using a distractor, the bone segment can be widened or moved in a transverse direction, as needed. This technique is often utilized in correcting maxillary or mandibular asymmetries or addressing width discrepancies[23].

Lateral Osteotomy: Lateral osteotomy is performed by making oblique bone cuts on one or both sides of the bone to create a controlled fracture. The distractor is then applied to move the bone segments in a desired direction for correction of facial asymmetry or repositioning of the maxilla or mandible[24].

Vertical Osteotomy: In cases where vertical height needs to be increased or decreased, a vertical osteotomy can be performed. This involves making a vertical bone cut along the desired length of the bone. The distractor is then used to gradually move the bone segments in the desired vertical direction[25].

Combination Techniques: Depending on the complexity of the skeletal deformity, a combination of osteotomy techniques may be utilized. This allows for more comprehensive corrections by addressing multiple planes and dimensions simultaneously[26].

Intracortical Osteotomy vs. Extraosseous Osteotomy: Intracortical osteotomy involves making the bone cut within the cortical bone, while extraosseous osteotomy refers to making the cut on the outer surface of the bone. The choice of technique depends on the specific needs of the patient, the bone quality, and the surgeon's preference[27].

Internal vs. External Distractors: Distractors can be placed either externally or internally. External distractors are attached to the bone using pins or screws that protrude through the skin. Internal distractors, on the other hand, are implanted beneath the skin, minimizing visible scarring. The choice of distractor depends on the surgical plan and the patient's preferences[28].

It is important to note that the selection of techniques may vary depending on the specific case, the underlying condition, and the surgeon's expertise. Each technique has its own advantages and considerations, and the choice should be tailored to the individual patient's needs to achieve the best possible outcome.

Complications and Management

Distraction osteogenesis is generally a safe and effective procedure, but like any surgical procedure, it carries the potential for complications. It is essential to be aware of these complications and understand how to manage them appropriately. Some common complications include:

Infection: Infection can occur at the surgical site and around the distraction device. It is important to maintain good oral hygiene and follow the surgeon's instructions for cleaning the surgical site to minimize the risk of infection. In case of infection, appropriate antibiotics and local wound care may be necessary.

Device-related complications: Distractors can occasionally loosen, break, or become dislodged during the distraction process. It is important to regularly monitor the device and report any discomfort, pain, or malfunctioning to the surgeon. In some cases, the device may need to be repositioned or replaced surgically.

Poor bone formation or consolidation: Inadequate bone formation or poor consolidation can lead to instability or insufficient bone growth at the distraction site. This may be due to factors such as poor blood supply, excessive distraction forces, or inadequate stabilization. Close monitoring, regular follow-up visits, and appropriate adjustments in distraction rate and consolidation period may be needed to enhance bone formation[29].

Nerve injury: Nerves in the surrounding area can be damaged during surgery, resulting in temporary or permanent sensory or motor deficits. Preoperative imaging, careful surgical planning, and expertise in surgical techniques can help minimize the risk of nerve injury.

Delayed consolidation or premature consolidation: Delayed consolidation refers to a prolonged healing process, where bone formation takes longer than expected. Premature consolidation, on the other hand, occurs when bone healing occurs too quickly, limiting further distraction. These complications can be managed by adjusting the distraction rate, extending the consolidation period, or performing additional surgical procedures if necessary.

Scarring and aesthetic concerns: The surgical incisions and placement of distractors can result in visible scarring, which can be of concern to some patients. Proper wound care and scar management techniques, such as silicone sheets or creams, may help minimize the appearance of scars.

It is important to note that complications can vary depending on the specific patient, the surgical technique used, and the surgeon's experience. Close communication and regular follow-up with the surgeon are crucial to address any complications promptly and ensure optimal outcomes. Patient compliance with postoperative care instructions and regular check-ups can help identify and manage complications early on[26].

Conclusions

Distraction osteogenesis has shown to be a highly effective technique for correcting skeletal deformities and achieving desired facial or limb aesthetics. The outcomes of distraction osteogenesis can be excellent, with improved function, symmetry, and cosmesis in many cases. However, the success of the procedure relies on careful planning, proper execution, and patient compliance with postoperative care.

In terms of bone regeneration, distraction osteogenesis stimulates new bone formation through the process of osteogenesis. The gradual distraction and tension applied to the bone encourage the formation of new bone tissue in the distraction gap. Over time, this newly formed bone consolidates and becomes structurally sound.The long-term outcomes of distraction osteogenesis vary depending on several factors, including patient age, overall health, underlying condition, and adherence to postoperative care protocols. In general, distraction osteogenesis can result in stable and lasting corrections, which can greatly improve the patient's quality of life[14].

Advancements

Future directions in distraction osteogenesis research and practice aim to further improve outcomes, minimize complications, and expand the applications of the technique. Some areas of interest include:

Advanced imaging modalities: The use of advanced imaging techniques, such as three-dimensional imaging, computer-assisted planning, and virtual surgical simulation, can aid in precise preoperative planning and assessment of the anticipated outcomes. These technologies can enhance the accuracy of surgical techniques and improve communication between surgeons and patients.

Biomechanical studies: Further understanding of the mechanical forces acting on bone during distraction osteogenesis can help optimize distraction protocols and reduce complications. Biomechanical studies can provide valuable insights into factors such as distraction rate, consolidation period, and the optimal amount of distraction force required for bone regeneration[22].

Regenerative strategies: Research is ongoing in the field of tissue engineering and regenerative medicine to enhance bone formation and speed up the consolidation process. This includes the development of growth factors, stem cell therapies, and scaffolds that can promote accelerated and more robust bone regeneration[30].

Minimally invasive techniques: There is increasing interest in developing minimally invasive distraction techniques that minimize soft tissue trauma, reduce scarring, and facilitate faster recovery. These techniques can improve patient comfort and acceptance of the procedure.

Patient-specific approaches: Advances in personalized medicine may lead to patient-specific treatment plans based on genetic, biomechanical, and imaging data. This tailored approach can optimize outcomes by accounting for individual variations and optimizing treatment strategies accordingly[5,24].

Overall, distraction osteogenesis continues to evolve as a valuable tool in the field of orthopaedic and craniofacial surgery. With ongoing research and advancements, the technique holds great promise for improving outcomes and expanding its applications to benefit more patients in the future. Distraction osteogenesis has proven to be a valuable technique in maxillofacial surgery, offering a reliable and predictable approach to correct various skeletal deformities. Despite its inherent challenges and potential complications, careful patient selection, appropriate surgical planning, and meticulous postoperative care can lead to favourable outcomes. Promising advancements in distraction protocols and devices continue to enhance the effectiveness and stability of this technique, providing clinicians with a versatile tool in the management of complex maxillofacial conditions[26].

References

1. Ilizarov GA. The tension-stress effect on the genesis and growth of tissues: Part I. The influence of stability of fixation and soft-tissue preservation. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1989;238:249-281.
2. McCarthy JG, Schreiber J, Karp N, Thorne CH, Grayson BH. Lengthening the human mandible by gradual distraction. Plast Reconstr Surg. 1992;89(1):1-8.
3. Rachmiel A. A review of complications in three maxillary distraction protocols. Cleft Palate Craniofac J. 2010;47(2):123-128.
4. Oh HK, Susarla SM, Swanson EW, et al. Distraction osteogenesis for maxillary hypoplasia: a systematic review of complications. J Craniofac Surg. 2019;30(8):2246-2254.
5. Bell RB, Kindsfater CS, Prasad NG. Efficacy of craniofacial osteodistraction in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome patients with hypoplastic maxilla and mandible. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2006;64(6):802-812.
6. Conely and Legan: mandibular symphyseal distraction osteogenesis: diagnosis and treatment planning considerations. Angle Orthod 73: 3-11, 2003.
7. Traulis and Kaban: Complications of mandibular distraction osteogenesis. Oral Maxillofacial Surg Clin N Am. 15: 251-264, 2003.
8. Loboa EG, Fang TD, Warren SM, Lindsey DP, Fong KD, Longaker MT, Carter DR: mechanobiology of mandibular distraction osteogenesis: experimental analyses with a rat model. 34: 336-343, 2004.
9. Suhr, Kreusch Th.: technical considerations in distraction osteogenesis. Int. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg. 33: 89-94, 2004.
10. Sandor GKB, Leena PY, Charmichael RP, Nish IA, John D: distraction osteogenesis of the midface. Oral Maxillofac. Surg. Clin N Am 17: 485-501, 2005.
11. Spangnoli DB and Gollehon SG: distraction osteogenesis in reconstruction of mandible
12. Minami K, Mori Y, Kwon T, Shimizu H, Ohtani M, Yura Y: maxillary distraction osteogenesis in cleft lip and palate patients with skeletal anchorage. Cleft Palate Craniofacial Journal, 44; 2: 137-141, 2007.
13. Schwartz HC: transport distraction osteogenesis for reconstruction of the ramus- condyle unit of Temporomandibular joint: surgical technique. 67: 2197-2200, 2009.
14. Bell WH, Harper RP, Hinton RJ, Browne R,Cherkashin AM, Shamchukor ML: Reactive changes in Temporomandibular joint after mandibular midline osteodistraction. British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery 35: 20-25, 1997.
15. Miao J, Li G et al: 2/3 osteotomy for lengthening the mandible in dogs by gradual distraction. J. of Cranio-maxillofac. Surg. 25: 301-304, 1997.
16. Cohen SR, William B, Burstein FD: monobloc distraction osteogenesis during infancy: report of a case and presentation of a new device. Plast. Reconstr. Surg 101; 7: 1919-1924, 1998.
17. Stewart KJ, White SA, Bonar SF, Walsh WR, Smart RC: mandibular distraction osteogenesis: a comparison of distraction rates in the rabbit model. Journal of Cranio-Maxillofacial Surg. 26: 43-49, 1998.
18. Samchukov ML, Cope JB, Harper RP, Ross JD: biomechanical consideration of mandibular

lengthening and widening by gradual distraction using a computer model. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg. 56; 51-59, 1998.

19. Oda T, Sawaki Y, Ueda M: alveolar ridge augmentation by distraction osteogenesis using titanium implants: an experimental study. Int. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg. 28: 151-156, 1999.
20. Douglas et al: intraoral mandibular distraction osteogenesis in a patient with severe micrognathia secondary to TMJ ankylosis using a tooth and bone-anchored device (PIT device): a case report. J oral maxillofac. Surg 58: 1429- 1433, 2000.
21. Albino T, Minoretti R, Merz BR: distraction osteogenesis of mandibular angle and inferior border to produce facial symmetry.
22. Hisako H, Takato T, Matsumoto S, Mori Y: experimental study of reconstruction of Temporomandibular joint using a bone transport technique. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg. 58: 1270-1276, 2000.
23. Randolph CR, Patrick JO, Ginger HR: mandibular distraction force: laboratory data and clinical correlation. J. Oral. Maxillofac. Surg, 59: 539-544, 2001.
24. Chen J. Liu V, Ping F, Zhao 8, Xu X, Yan Ft two-step transport-disk distraction osteogenesis in reconstruction of mandibular defect involving body and ramus. 39: 573-579, 2010.
25. Shakaki M, Shawky M, Dahaba M, Radwan Di bone regeneration in alveolar distraction osteogenesis combined with compression stimulation treatment modality. Egyptian J. Oral Maxillofac, Surg. 1: 12-17, 2010.
26. Hegab and Shuman: distraction osteogenesis of the maxillofacial skeleton: biomechanics and clinical implications. 1; 11: 509, 2012.
27. Margit Pichelmayer, Rudolf Mossbo" ck, Helmut Droschl: Maxillary Segmental Distraction in a Patient with Bilateral Cleft Lip and Alveolus with Subsequent Tooth Transplantation: A Preliminary Case Report. Cleft Palate- Craniofacial Journal, 45; 4: 446-451, 2008.
28. Rania M, Sugar W, Maarten GMM, Borstlap WA, Clauser L, Hoffmeister B, Marie A. current practice of distraction osteogenesis for craniofacial anomalies in Europe: A web-based survey. Journal of cranio-maxillo-facial surgery, 38: 83- 89, 2010,
29. Erverdi N, Kucukkeles N, Sener C, Selamet BU. Interdental distraction osteogenesis for alveolar clefts. Int. J. Oral Maxillofac Surg. 41:37-41, 2012,
30. Block MS, Chang A and Crawford C: mandibular alveolar ridge augmentation in the dog using distraction osteogenesis. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg. 54: 309-314, 1996