

**International Journal of Medical Science and Advanced Clinical Research (IJMACR)** Available Online at: www.ijmacr.com Volume – 3, Issue – 6, November - December - 2020, Page No. : 129 – 142

Comparative Study of Intrathecal Preservative Free Midazolam Versus Clonidine As An Adjuvant To Hyperbaric

0.5% Bupivacaine For Infraumbilical Surgeries

<sup>1</sup>Dr. Manisha S. Kapdi, Associate Professor, Anesthesia Department Smt NHL Municipal Medical College, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India

<sup>2</sup>Dr. Parikh Tapan P., Resident, Anesthesia Departmentl, Smt NHL Municipal Medical College, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India

<sup>3</sup>Riddhi Sabhadiya, Resident, Anesthesia Department, Smt NHL Municipal Medical College, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India
 <sup>4</sup>Ajay Limbachiya, Anesthesia Department, Smt NHL Municipal Medical College, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India

**Corresponding Author:** Dr. Parikh Tapan Parimal, Anesthesia Department, Smt NHL Municipal Medical College, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India

Type of Publication: Original Research Article

**Conflicts of Interest:** Nil

### Abstract

**Aim:** Comparing preservative free Midazolam and Clonidine as an adjuvant to Hyperbaric Bupivacaine 0.5% to compare the onset and duration of sensory and motor block, hemodynamic changes and duration of post operative analgesia.

**Method:** Randomized observational study on 60 patients of ASA grade I & II, 18-60 years of age of either sex undergoing elective infraumbilical surgeries. Randomization was done by odd & even numbers, in sealed opaque envelopes. Execution of Randomization was done at the time of giving spinal anaesthesia. Thirty patients in each group.

**Group A (Midazolam group)** will receive 3ml (15mg) 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine and 0.2 ml (1mg) preservative free midazolam.

**Group B (Clonidine group)** will receive 3ml (15mg) 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine and 0.2 ml (30 mcg) preservative free clonidine.

**Results :** Time for first analgesia in group A was  $368\pm$  18.64 min as compared to  $317.7 \pm 12.78$  min in group B. Onset and highest sensory level was  $116.1 \pm 10.95$  sec and

 $7.9 \pm 0.61$  min respectively in group A while it was 128.3  $\pm 11.77$  sec and  $8.6 \pm 0.61$  min respectively In group B. Onset for motor blockade was  $104.9\pm 8.88$  sec in group A as compared to  $112.3 \pm 11.04$  sec in group B. Decrease in Heart Rate and Blood Pressure were more in Clonidine than Midazolam group at 25- 50 min of administration of study group.

**Conclusion:** Intrathecal Midazolam provides perioperative stable hemodynamics, less adverse effects, prolonged sensory blockade and postoperative analgesia than clonidine.

**Keywords:** Midazolam, clonidine, Bupivacaine Heavy, postoperative analgesia.

#### Introduction:

Bupivacaine is the most commonly used local anaesthetic agent having satisfactory sensory and motor blockade with limited duration of action. "Various adjuvants that are added to local anaesthetic agents are adrenaline, phenylephrine, opiods,  $\alpha 2$  agonists, neostigmine, ketamine, magnesium sulphate."<sup>[1, 2]</sup> This study was undertaken to evaluate and compare the efficacy and potency of intrathecally Bupivacaine with preservative

free Clonidine (30mcg) and preservative free Midazolam (1mg) for onset and duration of sensory and motor block, hemodynamic stability, duration of effective analgesia, including post operative analgesia and any adverse effects with each combination in patients undergoing infraumbilical surgeries.

#### **Materials and Method**

On approval from NHL Institutional review board, we carried out this study on 60 patients of ASA grade I & II, between 18-60 years of age of either sex and height in the range of 150-180 cm undergoing elective infraumbilical surgeries after explaining the procedure and obtaining consent from them.

#### Patient exclusion criteria

- Patient refusal.
- Patient on chronic analgesic therapy.
- Patient with gross spinal deformity.
- Patient with peripheral neuropathy.
- Patient taking sympathomimetics / sympatholytic drugs.
- Pregnancy /lactation.
- Known allergy to local anaesthetics.
- Hypersensitivity to study drugs.
- H/o chronic headache /backache.
- Local infection at the site.
- Coagulation disorder.
- Surgeries which last longer than 3 hrs.
- ASA grade III, IV, V.
- H/o drug / Alcohol abuse.
- Patient with systemic hypertension, hepatic dysfunction, renal dysfunction, endocrine dysfunction, cardiac dysfunction.
- Patient using alpha 2-adrenergic receptor antagonists, calcium channel blockers, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors or noted to have dysrhythmias on ECG.

- Patient who were morbidly obese.
- Patient who were hemodynamically unstable.

Random allocation of patients was done in two groups Group A: 30 patients in group A (Midazolam group) will receive 3ml (15mg) 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine and 0.2 ml (1mg) preservative free midazolam.

**Group B:** 30 patients in group B (Clonidine group) will receive 3ml (15mg) 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine and 0.2 ml (30 mcg) preservative free clonidine. Total volume intrathecally given was 3.2 ml in both the groups.

#### **Study Protocol**

It is a randomized observational study. Randomization was done by odd & even numbers, in sealed opaque envelopes. Execution of Randomization was done at the time of giving spinal anaesthesia. Microsoft Excel 2007 was used for statistical analysis. Data was expressed as Mean  $\pm$  Standard Deviation. Data were compared using student t-test. *P* value < 0.05 considered statistically significant(S) and *P*<0.001 considered highly significant (HS). *P* value >0.05 is considered Not significant (NS).

#### **Pre Anaesthetic Assessment**

• Preoperative history and physical examination of patient was done.

• Patients having history of allergy to any drug or contraindications for spinal anaesthesia were excluded from study.

• Laboratory investigations like CBC, blood sugar, Renal function tests, serum electrolytes, X ray chest, ECG were viewed.

• Patient was explained the procedure and was informed to communicate about the perception of any discomfort or pain during surgery.

• Patient was explained about VAS score with 1 to 10 scale.

© 2020, IJMACR, All Rights Reserved

- Written and informed consent was taken from the w
- patients as well as his/her relatives.
- Patients were kept Nil by Mouth for 6 hours.

# In the operation theatre

- IV line taken and each patient were preloaded with 10 ml/ kg of Ringer's lactate solution over 30 minutes before procedure.
- Pulse Oximeter, Non-invasive blood pressure monitoring and ECG were attached and base line reading taken.

### Equipment

- Cotton swabs with swab holding forceps. Disposable 25G lumbar puncture needle.
- Disposable 5 cc syringe
- An ampoule of hyperbaric Bupivacaine 0.5%, preservative free clonidine and preservative free Midazolam.

### Technique

- Under all strict aseptic and antiseptic precaution, with patient in sitting position, lumbar puncture was performed at L2-L3 or L3-L4 intervertebral space with 25G Quincke spinal needle and selected drug was given slowly. After completion of procedure, patient was laid down in supine position. Time was noted for subarachnoid injection of drug.
- Pulse, BP, SPO<sub>2</sub> and RR were recorded every 0,2,4,6,8, 10, 15,20,25,30, 40, and 60 minutes after giving spinal anaesthesia and then every 30 minutes till 240 mins and thereafter at 60 mins interval upto 600 mins and then at 720 mins, and 1440 mins in post operative ward where further monitoring was continued.

# Evaluation

**Onset of sensory blockade:** Time required to loss of pinprick sensation at the level of sensory dermatome T10

were noted.

Highest level of sensory block and time to attain it were recorded. It was assessed by bilateral pin prick method along the midclavicular line using a short beveled 26 G hypodermic needle at 2 mins interval till surgical anaesthesia was achieved.

Further sensory testing was performed at 30 mins intervals till the recovery of  $S_2$  dermatome.

**Onset of Motor Blockade** will be defined as the time from injection of study drug to the time to achieve Bromage grade1.

Bromage criteria					
Scale	Criteria	Degree of			
		block			
0	Free movement of legs and	None			
	feet with ability to raise				
	extended legs.				
1	Inability to raise extended leg	Partial (33%)			
	and knee flexion decreased,				
	but full flexion of feet and				
	ankle is present.				
2	Inability to raise leg or flex	Partial			
	knees, but flexion of ankle	(66%)			
	and feet present.				
3	Inability to raise leg, flex	Complete			
	knees or ankle or move toes.	paralysis			

• After adequate level of block, surgery was started and beginning time of surgery was noted.

- Motor blockade Onset (Time required to produce grade 3 motor block) and duration from grade 3-0 was noted.
- Time to two segment regression was noted.
- Time to S2 regression was noted.

- Depending on the weight of patient, IV fluids were administered and replaced according to loss during surgery.
- Duration of Surgery- it is time duration between injection of study drug to the skin closure
- After completion of surgery, patients were shifted to post operative ward, where patients were monitored.
- Total duration of analgesia: Time of injection of study drug to first demand for rescue analgesia by patient.
- Intraoperative complications like bradycardia, hypotension, sedation, shivering, nausea, vomiting, dryness of mouth and respiratory depression was noted in patients.
- Hypotension was defined as systolic blood pressure > 30% decrease in baseline value.
- Hypotension was treated with Ephedrine 6mg iv stat.
- Tachycardia was defined as heart rate >100/mins and bradycardia was defined as heart rate < 60/mins or >20% decline than baseline value.
- Bradycardia was treated with Inj. Glycopyrollate 0.2mg i.v.
- Nausea and vomiting if occurred was treated with Inj. Ondansetron 4mg i.v.
- Warm fluids and covering of patient was used to treat shivering.
- After surgery, patients were monitored for 24 hours postoperatively.
- Postoperatively pain measurement was assessed by VAS scale. And First rescue analgesic was given in

the form of inj. Tramadol (1mg/kg)iv and inj. Ondansetron (0.08mg/kg)iv.

- Total number of analgesic requests in 24 hours noted.
- Sedation was assessed by OAA/S score periodically upto 360 minutes.

Responsiveness	Score
Responds readily to name spoken in normal	5
tone	
Lethargic response to name spoken in	4
normal tone	
Responds only after name is called loudly/or	3
repeatedly	
Responds only after mild prodding or	2
shaking	
Does not responds to mild prodding/shaking	1

- **Pruritus:** For severe form of pruritus, antihistaminic was kept ready.
- Time for 1st rescue Analgesia was noted.
- Total number of analgesic requests noted.
- **Post dural puncture headache(PDPH)** : Headache was classified as PDPH if it was aggravated by erect or sitting position, relieved on lying flat, mainly occipital or frontal and increased on coughing, sneezing, or straining.
- VAS score was assessed according to 10 point scale as shown below

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Pain	Very	Discomforting	Tolerable	Distressing	Very	Intense	Very	Utterly	Excruciating	Unimaginable
free	Mild				Distressing		Intense	Horrible	Unbearable	Unspeakable
No Pain	Minor Pain			Moderate Pa	in		Severe Pai	n		

### **Observation & Results**

Table 1: Demographic Data

Variables	Group A (Mean± SD)	Group B (Mean± SD)	P-Value	Inference
Age (years)	$32.2 \pm 6.56$	33.7 ± 8.02	0.43	NS
Height(cm)	$169.5 \pm 6.79$	$167.4 \pm 8.02$	0.26	NS
Weight (kg)	61.5 ± 7.76	$62.0 \pm 8.11$	0.8	NS
Sex ratio(M:F)	21/9	22/8	-	-
ASA Grade I	20	21	-	-
Grade II	10	9	-	-
Duration of Surgery	$142 \pm 22.19$	$143.0 \pm 25.75$	0.87	NS

Table 1 shows demographic data between group A and group B. The two groups were comparable in Age, Height, Weight, Sex, ASA (American Society of Anesthesiologist) Table 2: Baseline Vital Parameters grade and duration of surgery and there was no statistical significant difference between the two groups (P>0.05). **SD**- Standard Deviation

	Group A (Mean± SD)	Group B (Mean± SD)	<i>P</i> - Value	Inference
Heart Rate (per minute)	82.9 ± 4.23	83.1 ± 3.7	0.84	NS
SBP (mmHg)	127.3 ± 5.11	$126.3 \pm 3.51$	0.38	NS
DBP (mmHg)	82.5 ± 2.91	82.9 ± 2.33	0.49	NS
MAP (mmHg)	$97.4 \pm 3.29$	$97.4 \pm 2.28$	0.97	NS
RR (/min)	$15.5 \pm 1.55$	15.7 ± 1.49	0.73	NS
SPO2 (%)	$97.8 \pm 0.92$	$97.9\pm0.9$	0.77	NS

Table 2 shows Baseline Vital Parameters between groups A and B. There was no statistical significant difference with regard to Baseline Heart Rate, SBP, DBP, MAP, RR and SPO<sub>2</sub> between the two groups (P>0.05).

Table 3: Characteristics of Motor Blockade

	Group A (Mean± SD)	Group B (Mean± SD)	P-Value	Inference
Time Of Onset Of Motor Blockade (sec)	$104.9 \pm 8.88$	$112.3 \pm 11.04$	0.005	S
Time For Bromage Grade 3	$7.7 \pm 0.53$	$8.1\pm0.49$	0.0021	S
Motor Blockade (min)				
Duration of Motor Block Regression From	$238 \pm 12.52$	$249.7 \pm 10.66$	0.0005	HS
Bromage (Grade 3-0) (min)				

Table 3 shows Characteristics of Motor Blockade between groups A and B. The time of onset of Motor Blockade is prolonged in Group B (112.3  $\pm$  11.04) sec as compared to Group A (104.9 $\pm$  8.88) sec which is statistically significant (*P*<0.05) while Time for Bromage grade 3 motor blockade was prolonged in Group B (8.1  $\pm$  0.49) Table 4: Characteristics of Sensory Blockade min than group A (7.7 $\pm$  0.53) min which is statistically significant (*P*<0.05). Duration of Motor block regression from Bromage grade 3 to 0 was more in group B (249.7  $\pm$  10.66) min as compared to group A (238  $\pm$  12.52) min which is statistically highly significant (*P*<0.001).

	Group A (Mean± SD)	Group B (Mean± SD)	P-Value	Inference
Time Of Onset Of Sensory Block (sec)	$116.1 \pm 10.95$	$128.3 \pm 11.77$	0.0001	HS
Time For Highest Sensory Block (min)	7.9 ± 0.61	$8.6\pm0.61$	< 0.001	HS
Time For Two Segment Regression (min)	127.3 ± 8.29	$115.7 \pm 8.58$	< 0.001	HS
Time For S2 Segment Regression (min)	295 ± 12.8	$284.3 \pm 15.01$	0.004	S

Table 4 shows Characteristics of Sensory Blockade which shows time of onset of Sensory block is prolonged in group B(128.3±11.77) sec as compared to group A (116.1± 10.95)sec (P<0.001). Time for highest Sensory Block is prolonged in group B (8.6 ± 0.61) min as compared to group A (7.9 ± 0.61) min (P<0.001). Time for Table 5: Perioperative Heart Rate (per Minute) Two segment regression and time for S2 segment regression is more in group A (127.3  $\pm$  8.29) min and (295  $\pm$  12.8) min respectively as compared to group B (115.7  $\pm$  8.58) min and (284.3  $\pm$  15.01) min respectively which is statistically significant (*P*<0.05).

Time	Group A (Mean± SD)	Group B (Mean± SD)	P-Value	Inference
0 min	82.1 ± 3.88	83.3 ± 3.46	0.22	NS
2 min	81.2 ± 3.77	82.3 ± 2.68	0.18	NS
4 min	$79.3 \pm 3.26$	80.5 ± 3.01	0.12	NS
6 min	$78.9 \pm 3.7$	$78.2\pm3.38$	0.46	NS
8 min	$78.3 \pm 4.67$	$76.9\pm2.66$	0.15	NS
10 min	$77.2 \pm 4.83$	$75.4 \pm 1.75$	0.06	NS
15 min	$76 \pm 6.5$	$74.3 \pm 1.72$	0.16	NS
20 min	$75.7\pm6.59$	$72.9 \pm 1.87$	0.02	S
25 min	$75.8\pm 6.32$	$70.9\pm2.61$	<0.001	HS
30 min	$75.6\pm4.38$	$66.8\pm4.05$	<0.001	HS
40 min	75.7 ± 2.73	$64.9\pm6.03$	<0.001	HS
60 min	74 ± 1.75	$68.6 \pm 5.95$	<0.001	HS
80 min	$73.3 \pm 1.32$	$69 \pm 5.97$	<0.001	HS
100 min	73.7 ± 2.11	$66.9\pm4.76$	<0.001	HS

120 min	$73.5 \pm 1.94$	$67.9\pm3.98$	<0.001	HS
150 min	$74.5 \pm 1.89$	69.3 ± 3.17	<0.001	HS
180 min	75.7 ± 2.56	$70.1 \pm 2.62$	<0.001	HS
240 min	79.1 ± 3.27	73.1 ± 1.71	< 0.001	HS
300 min	$79.8 \pm 2.43$	$75.4 \pm 2.77$	< 0.001	HS
360 min	79.5 ± 1.66	77.6 ± 3.33	<0.001	HS
480 min	80.7 ± 2.26	81.7 ± 2.35	0.12	NS
600 min	80.5 ± 3.16	81.7 ± 2.39	0.09	NS
720 min	$79.3 \pm 2.95$	80.6 ± 3.2	0.09	NS
1440 min	80.4 ± 2.65	81.5 ± 2.4	0.1	NS

Table 5 shows Perioperative change in Heart Rate, withGroup A which is statistically highly significant from 25Group B showing decrease in Heart Rate as compared tominutes to 360 minutes (P<0.001).</td>

Table 6: Perioperative SBP (mmHg) (Systolic Blood Pressure)

Time	Group A (Mean± SD)	Group B (Mean± SD)	P-Value	Inference
0 min	$125.8\pm4.82$	$125.7 \pm 3.75$	0.9	NS
2 min	$122.6\pm4.21$	$121.2\pm2.76$	0.13	NS
4 min	$115.2\pm4.09$	$116.9 \pm 3.99$	0.102	NS
6 min	$114.3 \pm 4.32$	$113.1 \pm 3.51$	0.46	NS
8 min	$114.1 \pm 4.62$	$112.2 \pm 2.8$	0.06	NS
10 min	$113.1 \pm 4.86$	$111.5 \pm 2.27$	0.10	NS
15 min	$112.1 \pm 5.69$	$110.6 \pm 2.24$	0.19	NS
20 min	$110.7 \pm 8.21$	$107.4 \pm 2.88$	0.04	S
25 min	$111.5 \pm 8.27$	$103.2\pm4.05$	<0.001	HS
30 min	$112 \pm 7.3$	$98.7\pm4.59$	< 0.001	HS
40 min	$112.5 \pm 5.22$	$96.9\pm4.78$	<0.001	HS
60 min	$112.9 \pm 1.95$	99.7 ± 5.27	< 0.001	HS
80 min	$112.8 \pm 1.86$	97.3 ± 3.73	< 0.001	HS
100 min	$113.3 \pm 1.86$	$96.8 \pm 3.74$	< 0.001	HS
120 min	$114.3 \pm 2.61$	$98.9 \pm 3.05$	< 0.001	HS
150 min	$115.7 \pm 2.91$	$103.5 \pm 2.27$	< 0.001	HS
180 min	$117.9\pm2.97$	$106.5 \pm 2.66$	< 0.001	HS
240 min	$119.9 \pm 2.29$	$110.1 \pm 3.08$	< 0.001	HS
300 min	$120.9\pm2.5$	$112.1 \pm 3.75$	< 0.001	HS
360 min	$120.8\pm2.76$	$114.5 \pm 3.36$	<0.001	HS
480 min	120.1 ± 2.03	120.9 ± 3.35	0.26	NS

© 2020, IJMACR, All Rights Reserved

ഗന

600 min	$120.1 \pm 3.58$	$121.4 \pm 2.84$	0.11	NS
720 min	$119.9 \pm 4.77$	$121.6 \pm 3.12$	0.11	NS
1440 min	$120.8\pm4.25$	$122.3 \pm 2.45$	0.10	NS

Table 6 shows changes in Perioperative SBP which shows decrease in systolic Blood Pressure in group B more than

group A, which is statistically highly significant from 25 mins to 360 mins (P<0.001).

Table 7: Perioperative DBP (Diastolic Blood Pressure)

Time	Group A (Mean± SD)	Group B (Mean± SD)	P-Value	Inference
0 min	82.1 ± 3.15	82.4 ± 2.31	0.7	NS
2 min	79.8 3.11	80.9 ± 2.55	0.13	NS
4 min	73.5 ± 3.44	74.7 ± 2.37	0.12	NS
6 min	72.5 ± 2.57	72.1 ± 1.93	0.42	NS
8 min	$73.0 \pm 3.05$	$71.9 \pm 1.48$	0.07	NS
10 min	$72.5 \pm 3.47$	$71.3 \pm 1.32$	0.1	NS
15 min	$70.9 \pm 4.29$	$70.8 \pm 1.54$	0.93	NS
20 min	$70.8 \pm 4.72$	67.4± 2.58	0.001	S
25 min	$70.9 \pm 4.54$	65.1 ± 3.09	<0.001	HS
30 min	$70.9 \pm 4.6$	62.7 ± 3.26	< 0.001	HS
40 min	71.9 ± 3.81	$61.9 \pm 2.49$	< 0.001	HS
60 min	72.1 ± 1.93	$62.4\pm2.8$	< 0.001	HS
80 min	72.3 ± 1.67	$61.2 \pm 1.54$	< 0.001	HS
100 min	$72.8 \pm 2.38$	$60.7\pm0.98$	< 0.001	HS
120 min	$73.4 \pm 2.42$	62.1 ± 1.44	< 0.001	HS
150 min	74.1 ± 2.4	63.4 ± 1.19	< 0.001	HS
180 min	77.6 ± 2.7	$64.8 \pm 2.07$	< 0.001	HS
240 min	$79.4 \pm 2.74$	68.7 ± 2.32	< 0.001	HS
300 min	$79.9 \pm 2.34$	$70.3 \pm 3.02$	< 0.001	HS
360 min	80.3 ± 1.83	73.7 ± 3.11	< 0.001	HS
480 min	$79.2 \pm 2.33$	$78.6 \pm 2.53$	0.34	NS
600 min	79.7 ± 2.56	79.3 ± 1.99	0.5	NS
720 min	80 ± 3.9	$79.5 \pm 1.94$	0.55	NS
1440 min	$80.7\pm3.76$	80.3 ± 1.97	0.66	NS

Table 7 shows changes in Perioperative DBP between two groups , with decrease in diastolic blood pressure being more in group B as compared to group A from 25 min to 360 min, which is statistically highly significant (P < 0.001).

	Group A		Group B		<i>P</i> -Value		Inference	
Time	RR (/min)	SPO2(%)	RR(/min)	SPO2(%)	RR(/min)	SPO2(%)	RR(/min)	SPO2(%)
	(Mean±SD)	(Mean±SD)	(Mean±SD)	(Mean±SD)	(Mean±SD)	(Mean±SD)	(Mean±SD)	(Mean±SD)
0 min	$14.7 \pm 1.11$	97.6±0.82	$14.7 \pm 1.11$	$97.7 \pm 0.84$	1	0.53	NS	NS
2 min	14.7 ±1.09	$97.4 {\pm} 0.67$	14.3 ±1.03	$97.6 \pm 0.82$	0.23	0.3	NS	NS
4 min	$14.6 \pm 1.07$	$97.2 \pm 0.57$	$14.2 \pm 1.1$	$97.1 \pm 0.40$	0.15	0.29	NS	NS
6 min	14.5 ±1.04	$97.2 \pm 0.57$	14.2 ±1.1	$97.3 \pm 0.61$	0.23	0.51	NS	NS
8 min	$14.7 \pm 1.09$	$97.2 \pm 0.5$	14.4± 1.1	97.3±0.6	0.35	0.64	NS	NS
10 min	$14.4 \pm 1.22$	97.1±0.51	13.9 ±1.11	97.1±0.78	0.12	1.00	NS	NS
20 min	13.8±1.32	97.3±0.47	13.3 ±1.11	97.1±0.61	0.09	0.15	NS	NS
30 min	13.5 ± 1.28	97.3±0.47	13.1 ±1.14	97.1±0.76	0.29	0.22	NS	NS
40 min	13.4 ± 1.19	97.3±0.45	12.9± 1.01	97.1±0.91	0.1	0.28	NS	NS
60 min	13.3 ± 1.11	$97.2 \pm 0.41$	12.9 ±1.01	97±0.81	0.14	0.31	NS	NS
100 min	13.4± 1.19	97.1±0.35	13.1±1.01	$96.9 \pm 0.78$	0.35	0.2	NS	NS
120 min	13.4± 1.19	97.1±0.31	13.2 ±1.0	$97.2 \pm 0.82$	0.48	0.4	NS	NS
150 min	13.5 ± 1.17	$97.2 \pm 0.38$	13.2±1.0	97.1±0.97	0.34	0.86	NS	NS
180 min	13.9±1.44	97.3±0.47	13.5±0.9	97.2±1.01	0.13	0.74	NS	NS
240 min	13.7±1.46	$97.5 \pm 0.68$	13.3±0.96	$97.5 \pm 0.86$	0.21	0.86	NS	NS
300 min	13.7±1.46	$97.5 \pm 0.68$	13.5 ±0.86	$97.8 \pm 0.9$	0.52	0.26	NS	NS
360 min	13.7±1.46	97.6±0.76	13.8±0.61	98± 0.85	0.81	0.11	NS	NS
480 min	13.7 ±1.46	97.7±0.76	14.3±0.69	98± 0.91	0.07	0.12	NS	NS
600 min	$13.9 \pm 1.34$	$97.8 \pm 0.79$	14.3±0.69	$98.2 \pm 0.83$	0.23	0.11	NS	NS
720 min	14.2 ±1.32	$97.9 \pm 0.8$	14.3±0.69	$98.2 \pm 0.83$	0.8	0.21	NS	NS
1440 min	14.4 ±1.33	$97.9 \pm 0.8$	$14.3 \pm 0.69$	$98.2 \pm 0.83$	0.62	0.21	NS	NS

Table 8: Perioperitive Respiratory Rate And Spo2

Table 8 shows Perioperative change in Respiratory Rate and SpO2 between two groups which were normal and Table 9: Perioperative OAA/S Sedation Score

Group B (Mean  $\pm$  SD) Time Group A (Mean  $\pm$  SD) P-Value Inference  $5.0\pm0.0$  $5.0\pm0.0$ 0 min \_ \_  $5.0\pm0.0$  $5.0\pm0.0$ 2 min \_ \_ 4 min  $5.0\pm0.0$  $5.0\pm0.0$ -\_  $5.0\pm0.0$  $5.0\pm0.0$ 6 min -\_ 8 min  $5.0\pm0.0$  $5.0\pm0.0$ \_ \_ NS 10 min 4.9±0.31  $4.8\pm0.38$ 0.45

difference between two groups (P>0.05).

© 2020, IJMACR, All Rights Reserved

15 min	$4.9\pm0.35$	$4.8\pm0.43$	0.32	NS
20 min	$4.8\pm0.41$	$4.7\pm0.48$	0.25	NS
25 min	$4.7\pm0.45$	4.2 ±0.73	0.002	S
30 min	$4.7\pm0.47$	$4.1\pm0.78$	0.0003	HS
40 min	$4.7\pm0.47$	$3.8\pm0.79$	< 0.001	HS
60 min	$4.7\pm0.48$	$3.8\pm0.76$	< 0.001	HS
80 min	$4.7 \pm 0.45$	4.1±0.55	< 0.001	HS
100 min	4.8±0.38	$4.44\pm0.56$	< 0.001	HS
120 min	$4.9\pm0.35$	$4.7\pm0.48$	0.06	NS
150 min	$5.0 \pm 0.00$	$4.9\pm0.31$	0.07	NS
180 min	$5.0 \pm 0.00$	$5.0 \pm 0.00$	-	-
210 min	$5.0 \pm 0.00$	$5.0 \pm 0.00$	-	-
240 min	$5.0 \pm 0.00$	$5.0 \pm 0.00$	-	-
300 min	$5.0 \pm 0.00$	$5.0 \pm 0.00$	-	-
360 min	$5.0 \pm 0.00$	$5.0 \pm 0.00$	-	-

Table 9 shows OAA/S Sedation Score in both groupsmin to  $100 \min (P < 0.001)$  however In First 8 Minutes andwhich is highly significant between both groups from 30after 150 Minutes, OAA/S Score Is 5 In Both Groups.

Table 10: Duration of Post-Operative Analgesia and Total Analgesic Requests

Parameters	Group A (n=30) (Mean±SD)	Group B (n=30) (Mean±SD)	P-Value	Inference
Time to first analgesic request (min)	368±18.64	317.7 ± 12.78	< 0.001	HS
Total Analgesic Requests (no.)	$1.9 \pm 0.61$	$3.2 \pm 0.48$	< 0.001	HS
Table 10 shows Duration of Post Ope	erative Analgesia and to group	oup B (317.7 ± 12.78)mi	n and (3.	$2 \pm 0.48)$

Total Analgesic Requests which is more in group A ( $368\pm$  respectively which is statistically highly significant 18.64)min and ( $1.9 \pm 0.61$ ) no. respectively as compared (P<0.001).

Table 11: Perioperative Adverse Effects

Adverse Effects	Group A	Group B	
Hypotension	4 (13.3%)	8 (26.7%)	
Bradycardia	4 (13.3%)	10(33.3%)	
Nausea, vomiting	3(10%)	5 (16.7%)	
Pruritis	0	0	
RS depression	0	0	
Shivering	4(13.3%)	3(10%)	

Table 11 shows Perioperative Adverse Effects between both groups, with incidence of Hypotension and Bradycardia being more in Group B, 26.7% and 33.33 % respectively as compared to group A ,13.3 % and 13.3% respectively. The incidence of Nausea and vomiting was 16.7% in group B as compared to 10% in group A while

ထ

Shivering was observed in 13.3 % in group A as compared

#### Discussion

Intrathecal preservative free Clonidine has been successfully used as an adjuvant with preservation of cardiovascular reflexes, reduced post operative analgesic requirement and prolongation of the duration of bupivacaine induced sensory and motor blockade. Intrathecal preservative free midazolam has been shown to have analgesic properties and potentiate the effects of intrathecal local anesthetic. "The mechanism by which midazolam provides analgesia has been explored in several recent studies, it acts through gammaaminobutyric acid (GABA) receptors present in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord with the highest density of these receptors found within the lamina II of the dorsal horn ganglia, a region that plays a prominent role in processing nociceptive and thermoceptive stimulation. It may also have central antinociceptive effect via the activation of spinal  $\delta$  opioid receptors."<sup>[3,4,5,6]</sup> Neuraxialanaesthesia is a safe and effective alternative to general anaesthesia when surgical site is located in infraumbilical region. To improve spinal anaesthetic efficacy, adjuvants used enhance and prolong analgesia, to lower dose requirements and to reduce dose dependent side effects of local anaesthetics. Intrathecal preservative free Midazolam has been shown to have analgesic properties and potentiates the effects of intrathecal local anaesthetic. The mechanism by which midazolam provides analgesia has been explored in several studies.

**Good child CS et al** <sup>[7]</sup> studied that "intrathecal midazolam is involved in the release of an endogenous opioid acting at spinal delta receptor".

**Edward m and serrao et al** <sup>[8]</sup>observed that "Antinociception actions of intrathecal midazolam are mediated via BZD/GABA receptors".

to 10% in group B.

**N Nader et al** <sup>[9]</sup> **in 2001** showed "suppression of plasma and CSF concentration of TNF- $\alpha$  during the perioperative period on preoperative administration of clonidine resulting in perioperative analgesia and decreased sympathetic tone".

In recent years, Clonidine which is a selective partial agonist for adrenoreceptor has been used to prolong duration of spinal anaesthesia. It is known to potentiate both sensory and motor block of local anaesthetics. The analgesic effect of intrathecal preservative free clonidine is mediated through activation of post synaptic receptor in substantia geletinosa of spinal cord.

### **Drug and Dosage**

- Joshi SA, Khadke VV et al <sup>[4]</sup> have used 3ml (15mg)
  0.5% Hyperbaric Bupivacaine for lower abdominal surgeries.
- **Tucker et al** <sup>[6]</sup> suggested that intrathecal midazolam in humans and identified safe dose of intrathecal midazolam as less than 0.03mg/kg.
- **Kanazi et al** <sup>[10]</sup> studied the effect of low dose clonidine (30mcg) added to intrathecal bupivacaine Heavy 0.5%, produces the prolongation of sensory and motor blockade.

### **Neurotoxicity Concerns**

- **Tucker et al** <sup>[6]</sup> evaluated 574 patients who received intrathecal midazolam and observed the patients for one month for a wide range of neurotoxicity and conclude that upto 2 mg of intrathecal midazolam did not increase the occurrence of neurological symptoms .We have 1 mg preservative free midazolam along with 3ml (15 mg) 0.5% Bupivacaine Heavy.
- In 1999 Hodgson PS et al <sup>[11]</sup> concluded that clonidine seems to be a safe spinal drug in humans.

#### **Characteristics of Sensory Blockade**

• In our study Time to onset of sensory block was

Page L

 $116.1 \pm 10.95$  sec in group A and  $128.3 \pm 11.77$  sec In group B which was statistically highly significant (*P*<0.001).

- In our study Time to achieve highest sensory level was 7.9 ± 0.61 min in group A and 8.6 ± 0.61 in group B, which was highly significant (*P*<0.001).</li>
- In our study Time for two segment regression was 127.3 ± 8.29 min in group A as compared to 115.7 ± 8.58 min in group B, which was statistically highly significant (*P*<0.001).</li>
- Similar to our study Gupta et al in 2015 <sup>[12]</sup> and Agrawal et al <sup>[13]</sup> in 2005 used "Intrathecal midazolam and reported prolonged sensory and motor block duration."
- In our Study Time for S2 segment regression was 295 ± 12.8 min in group A as compared to 284.3 ± 15.01 min in group B which is statistically significant with time for S2 regression being more in group A as compared to group B(P<0.05).</li>
- N Bharti et al <sup>[5]</sup> showed "Time to S2 segment regression as significantly longer with intrathecal midazolam ,218 min as compared to bupivacaine alone, 165 min (*P*<0.001)".

### CHARACTERISTICS OF MOTOR BLOCKADE

- In our study Time for onset for motor blockade was 104.9± 8.88 sec in group A as compared to 112.3 ± 11.04 sec in group B.(P value<0.05).</li>
- In our study time For Motor Bromage Grade 3 to 0 (Duration of Motor Block) was 238 ± 12.52 min in group A as compared to 249.7 ± 10.66 min in group B which is highly significant(P<0.001).</li>
- Joshi SA, Khadke VV et al <sup>[4]</sup> showed "duration of motor block of 293.8 ±108.69 min with intrathecal midazolam as compared to 322.92 ±

135 min with intrathecal clonidine".

#### **Post Operative Analgesia**

- In our study, time for first analgesic request in group A was 368± 18.64 min as compared to 317.7 ± 12.78 min in group B(P<0.001).
- Joshi SA, Khadke VV et al <sup>[4]</sup> showed "prolongation of duration of analgesia by intrathecalmidazolam (391.64 ± 132.98 min) as compared to intrathecalclonidine (296.6 ± 52.77 min) which was satistically highly significant (p<0.001)".</li>

### **Perioperative Haemodynamics**

#### In our study decrease in Heart Rate and Blood

**Pressure** were more in group clonidine than in midazolam group at 25- 50 min of administration of study group (*P*<0.001).

Decrease in Blood Pressure was noted in Midazolam group at 40 mins, which was 12% of baseline value and in clonidine group, it was 23% of baseline value.

Decrease in Heart Rate in clonidine group at 40 minutes was of 22% than the baseline values while in Midazolam group it was 8.7% of baseline.

- Joshi SA, Khadke VV et al <sup>[4]</sup> observed "bradycardia (36%) and hypotension (44%) with low dose clonidine (30mcg) while intrathecal Midazolam showed bradycardia (10%) and Hypotension (16%) which was satistically significant(*P*<0.05)".
- In our study Table 6 shows Respiratory rate and SpO<sub>2</sub> were stable and comparable in both groups(*P*>0.05).

### **Perioperative Adverse Effects**

#### Nausea and Vomiting

- In our study Nausea and vomiting was noted in 10% of group A as compared to 16.7% in group
- Joshi SA, Khadke VV et al <sup>[4]</sup> showed "28% incidence of post operative Nausea and Vomiting in

© 2020, IJMACR, All Rights Reserved

both intrathecal midazolam and Clonidine".

### **OAA/S** sedationscore

- In our study Sedation was observed and compared by OAA/S sedation score and it was more in group B as compared to group A(P<0.001) However in first 8 minutes and after 150 minutes, OAA/S score is 5 in both groups.
- Joshi SA, Khadke VV et al <sup>[4]</sup> observed "sedation in 4% patients with intrathecal Midazolam as compared to 20% patients with intrathecal clonidine".

### In our study

Hypotension was noted in 13.3% in group A as compared to 26.7% in group B.

**Bradycardia** was noted in 13.3% in group A as compared to 33.3% in group B.

- Joshi SA, Khadke VV et al <sup>[4]</sup> observed "bradycardia (36%) and hypotension (44%) with low dose clonidine (30mcg) while intrathecal Midazolam showed bradycardia (10%) and Hypotension(16%)".
- Shivering was noted in 13.3% in group A as compared to 10% in group B.
- **Pruritis and Respiratory depression** was not noted in any of the groups.
- Transient Neurological Symptoms and Post Dural Puncture Headache was not noted in any of the group.

#### Conclusion

In nutshell, Intrathecal preservative free midazolam (1mg) and Clonidine (30mcg), both are good adjuvants to 0.5% Heavy Bupivacaine. Intrathecal Midazolam provides perioperative stable hemodynamics, less adverse effects, prolonged sensory blockade and better postoperative analgesia than intrathecal clonidine. Intrathecal Clonidine provides longer duration of motor blockade as compared to intrathecal Midazolam.

### References

- Shukla Deepika, Verma Anil, Agarwal Apurva, Pandey H D, Tyagi Chitra: comparative study of intrathecal Dexmedetomidine with intrathecal magnesium sulphate used as adjuvants to bupivacaine. Research society of anaesthesiology clinical pharmacology 2011; 27(4)495-99.
- 2. Vadalouca A, Samaras T : postoperative analgesic effects of ketamine HCL administered epidurally in gynaecological procedures. Regional Anaesth 13:76, 1988.
- Ho KM, Ismail H .Use of intrathecalmidazolam to improve perioperative analgesia: A metaanalysis. Anaesth Intensive Care 2008;36:365-73.
- Joshi SA, Khadke VV, Subhedar RD, Patil AW, Motghare VM: Comparative evaluation of intrathecalmidazolam and low dose clonidine: efficacy, safety and duration of analgesia. A randomized, double blind, prospective clinical trial. Indian J Pharmacol. 2012;44(3):357-61.
- Bharti N, Madan R, Mohanty PR, Kaul HL. Intrathecal midazolam added to bupivacaine improves the duration and quality of spinal anaesthesia. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 2003 Oct;47(9):1101-5. doi: 10.1034/j.1399-6576.2003.00186.x. PMID: 12969103.Oct;47(9):1101-5
- Tucker AP, Lai C, Nadeson R, Goodchild CS. Intrathecal midazolam I: A cohort study investigating safety. Anesth Analg. 2004; 98:1512– 20
- Goodchild CS, Noble J. The effects of intrathecal midazolam on sympathetic nervous system reflexes in man-a pilot study. Br J Clinpharmacol.1987;23:279–85.

- Edwards M, Serrao JM, Gent JP, Goodchild CS. On the mechanism by which midazolam causes spinally mediated analgesia. Anesthesiology.1990;73:273-7.
- Nader ND, Ignatowski TA, Kurek CJ, Knight PR, Spengler RN. Clonidine suppresses plasma and cerebrospinal fluid concentrations of TNF-alpha during the perioperative period. Anesth Analg. 2001 Aug;93(2):363-9, 3rd contents page. Doi 10.1097/00000539-200108000-00026. PMID: 11473862.
- Kanazi GE, Aouad MT, Jabbour- Khoury SI, Al Jazzar MD, Alameddine MM, Al- Yaman R, et al. effect of low dose dexmedetomdine or Clonidine on the characteristics of bupivacaine spinal block. ActaAnesthesiol Scand 2006;50:222-7

- Hodgson, Peter S., MD; Neal, Joseph M., MD;
  Pollock, Julia E.,et al. The Neurotoxicity of Drugs Given Intrathecally (Spinal) Anesthesia& Analgesia: April 1999 - Volume 88 - Issue 4 - p 797-809
- Gupta A, Kamat H, Kharod U. Efficacy of intrathecal midazolam in potentiating the analgesic effect of intrathecal fentanyl in patients undergoing lower limb surgery. Anesth Essays Res. 2015;9(3):379-83.
- 13. Agarwal D, Chopra M, Mohta M, Sethi AK. Clonidine as an adjuvant to hyperbaric bupivacaine for spinal anesthesia in elderly patients undergoing lower limb orthopedic surgeries. Saudi J Anaesth 2014;8:209-14

How to citation this article: Dr. Manisha S. Kapdi, Dr. Parikh Tapan P., Riddhi Sabhadiya, Resident, Ajay Limbachiya , "Comparative Study Of Intrathecal Preservative Free Midazolam Versus Clonidine As An Adjuvant To Hyperbaric 0.5% Bupivacaine For Infraumbilical Surgeries", IJMACR- November -December - 2020, Vol – 3, Issue -6, P. No. 129 – 142.

**Copyright:** © 2020, Dr. Parikh Tapan Parimal, et al. This is an open access journal and article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution noncommercial License 4.0. Which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.