

## **Buccal Fat Pad Flap for Reconstruction of Oral and Maxillofacial Defect – A Case Report**

<sup>1</sup>Dr Pravesh Mehra , Director Professor, Head of the Department , Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery , Faculty of Medical Sciences , New Delhi , India

<sup>2</sup>Dr Anuradha Kar Khongsit, Postgraduate Resident, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India

**Corresponding Author:** Dr Anuradha Kar Khongsit, Postgraduate Resident, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India

**How to citation this article:** Dr Pravesh Mehra, Dr Anuradha Kar Khongsit, “Buccal Fat Pad Flap for Reconstruction of Oral and Maxillofacial Defect – A Case Report ”, IJMACR- January - February - 2021, Vol – 4, Issue -1, P. No. 117 – 120.

**Copyright:** © 2021, Dr Anuradha Kar Khongsit, et al. This is an open access journal and article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution noncommercial License 4.0. Which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

**Type of Publication:** Case Report

**Conflicts of Interest:** Nil

### **Abstract**

Reconstruction of the maxillofacial region is being carried out since time immemorial. With the advancement of modern surgery and technicalities the predictability of reconstruction of defects have improved drastically. One of such versatile flap amongst the various available options used to reconstruct the oral & maxillofacial defects is the buccal pad fat flap. It is locally available, highly vascular, reliable, and easy to harvest with predictable outcomes. We present herewith a case report of 37 years old male in whom surgical excision with safe margins of Leukoplakia involving buccal mucosa was done and successfully reconstructed with buccal fat pad flap.

**Keywords:** Buccal pad fat, reconstruction, Leukoplakia

### **Introduction**

The oral mucosa subjected to various carcinogens shows dysplastic changes depending on the staging. They have

higher propensity to convert into oral squamous cell carcinoma hence classified as oral potentially malignant disorders. Leukoplakia is categorised as a potentially malignant disorder with a rate of malignant transformation ranging from 0.13% to 34% [1]. According to a systematic review published in the year 2003 estimated prevalence of Leukoplakia is 1.49% [1]. One of the treatment options is surgical excision, which is usually carried out, so that tissue for histopathology is also sent. Hence in such cases early management is required to prevent the progression of the disease and lessen the morbidity

Healing of soft tissue is completed by primary and/or secondary intention. The secondary intention healing takes longer time as repair takes place by replacement of loss tissue with formation of granulation tissue. Hence for larger defects, soft tissue coverage speeds up wound healing process. Surgical excision of pathological tissue from the head and neck region leads to a defect which is

sometimes difficult to close primarily. Depending on the size of the defect, various reconstruction grafts and flaps can be used such as skin graft, free or regional flap. Buccal fat pad flap is a regional flap, which is locally available for intraoral defects and there is much less donor site morbidity.

The Buccal fat pad is an encapsulated mass of specialized fatty tissue. Buccal fat pad (BFP) appears at 3 months in utero and grows until birth. The average volume is 9.6 mL (range, 8.3–11.9 mL), average weight is 9.3 g (range, 8–11.5 g). The volume changes with aging. It is an axial flap, based on the subcapsular vascularplexus formed by maxillary artery (buccal and temporal branches), superficial temporal artery, and transverse facial artery. On gross examination it consists of lobes, covered with a thin capsule and is a highly mobile structure. It has a main body and four extensions: temporal, buccal, pterygoid, and pterygopalatine. The main body is surrounded by the buccinator muscle, masseter muscle, and zygomatic arch. The parotid duct pierces the buccinator at the anterior border of the buccal fat pad [2]

Due to the easy availability, harvesting technique, and rich blood supply, small to medium size maxillofacial defects can be easily reconstructed. In 1977 Egyedi et al, was first to report use of BFP for intra oral defects [3]. Hao et al, used pedicled BFP flaps for malignant lesions [4]. According to Johan et al, there are three approaches from where the graft can be harvested are (i) below the opening of parotid duct (Matarasso's method) (ii) behind the opening of parotid duct (iii) deep to superior gingivobuccal sulcus [5]. However during harvesting the flap, the capsule covering the buccal fat pad should be intact to maintain its viability.

Due to its close proximity to the small to medium sized intra-oral defects it is an easily accessible graft with no or less donor site morbidity and has predictable outcomes.

## **Case Report**

A 37 years old male was referred to the department of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery, Lady Hardinge Medical College & Associated Hospitals, New Delhi, with the chief complaint of burning sensation on bilateral inner aspect of cheek on intake of spicy food for the last 8 months. He gave a positive history of both smoking and smokeless Tobacco use since 7 years. No significant medical history was recorded. On clinical examination, he had a 2.5x2.5cm non scrappable white lesion of the left buccal mucosa adjacent to the retromolar region (figure 1). Maximal interincisal opening was 3.5 cm with complete dentition and fully impacted third molar in all four quadrants. The teeth present were grossly stained with calculus. A provisional diagnosis of oral leukoplakia was made. The lesion was planned for wide local excision and reconstruction with buccal fat pad flap.

In total aseptic condition the lesion was excised with wide base and safe margins of approximately 1 cm. The defect so created was approximately of 3.5x3.5cm dimension in the posterior aspect of the oral cavity (figure 2). On the base of the defect buccinator muscle was identified and blunt dissection was carried out to tease out the fat with the aid of a non-tooth forceps. Appropriate precautions were carried out to preserve the capsule of the fat. The fat was then harvested adequately for a tension free closure at the defect site (figure 3) Postoperatively the fat epithelisation uneventfully and maximal interincisal opening at the end of 1 month was 3.5 cm and partial epithelisation of the graft was seen (figure 4). Complete uptake of the graft was seen at the end of 3 months (figure 5)

## **Conclusion**

The versatility and predictability of the buccal fat pad flap is well documented in literature. Similarly in our case reconstruction of wide local excision of leukoplakia using

the buccal fat pad flap gave satisfactory result. Hence, maxillofacial surgeons should always consider it as a reconstruction option for small to medium sized oral and maxillofacial defects.

**Patient consent and ethical clearance:** Written informed consent was taken and there were no conflict of interests.

### Reference

1. Warnakulasuriya S, Ariyawardana A. Malignant transformation of oral leukoplakia: a systematic review of observational studies. *Journal of Oral Pathology & Medicine*. 2016 Mar;45(3):155-66.
2. Tostevin PM, Ellis H. The buccal pad of fat: a review. *Clinical Anatomy: The Official Journal of the American Association of Clinical Anatomists and the British Association of Clinical Anatomists*. 1995;8(6):403-6.
3. Egyedi P. Utilization of the buccal fat pad for closure of oro-antral and/or oro-nasal communications. *Journal of maxillofacial surgery*. 1977 Jan 1;5:241-4.
4. Hao SP. Reconstruction of oral defects with the pedicled buccal fat pad flap. *Otolaryngology—Head and Neck Surgery*. 2000 Jun;122(6):863-7.
5. Fagan J. *Open access atlas of otolaryngology, head & neck operative surgery*.

### Legend Figure



Figure 1: Non scappable lesion on left side



Figure 2: Excision of the lesion with healthy margin



Figure 3: Reconstruction with BFP



Figure 4: 1 month post-operative



Figure 5: 3 months post-operative