



A study to assess and correlate the habit behaviours among oral submucous fibrosis, leukoplakia, oral lichen planus in Udaipur Population- A Cross-Sectional Study

¹Dr. Swati Agarwal, Postgraduate Student, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Darshan Dental College and Hospital, Loyara, Udaipur, Rajasthan

²Dr. Tulika Sharma, Professor, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Darshan Dental College and Hospital, Loyara, Udaipur, Rajasthan

³Dr. Saba Khan, Professor and HOD, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Darshan Dental College and Hospital, Loyara, Udaipur, Rajasthan

⁴Dr. Helly Thakkar, Postgraduate Student, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Darshan Dental College and Hospital, Loyara, Udaipur, Rajasthan

⁵Dr. Vikas Soni, Postgraduate Student, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Darshan Dental College and Hospital, Loyara, Udaipur, Rajasthan

⁶Dr. Abha Verma, Postgraduate Student, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Darshan Dental College and Hospital, Loyara, Udaipur, Rajasthan

Corresponding Author: Dr. Swati Agarwal, Postgraduate Student, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Darshan Dental College and Hospital, Loyara, Udaipur, Rajasthan

How to citation this article: Dr. Swati Agarwal, Dr. Tulika Sharma, Dr. Saba Khan, Dr. Helly Thakkar, Dr. Vikas Soni, Dr. Abha Verma, “A study to assess and correlate the habit behaviours among oral submucous fibrosis, leukoplakia, oral lichen planus in Udaipur Population- A Cross-Sectional Study”, IJMACR- April - 2025, Volume – 8, Issue - 2, P. No. 62 – 70.

Open Access Article: © 2025 Dr. Swati Agarwal, et al. This is an open access journal and article distributed under the terms of the creative common's attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>). Which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Type of Publication: Original Research Article

Conflicts of Interest: Nil

Abstract

Background: Oral mucosal lesions (OMLs), including oral submucous fibrosis (OSMF), leukoplakia, and oral lichen planus (OLP), are linked to tobacco and arecanut use, posing significant oral health risks.

Aim & Objectives: This study assessed habit behaviors and their correlation with OSMF, leukoplakia, and OLP severity in Udaipur's population.

Methods: A cross-sectional study of 150 patients evaluated demographic data, habits, and clinical findings. Statistical analysis (ANOVA) determined associations between chewing habits and lesion severity.

Results: OSMF was most prevalent (60%), followed by OLP (50%) and leukoplakia (40%). Long-term tobacco/arecanut use significantly correlated with lesion severity ($p < 0.0001$). Frequency and duration of chewing habits were key risk factors.

Conclusion: The study highlights the strong association between chewing habits and OMLs, emphasizing the need for early detection and preventive strategies. Public health initiatives, including awareness campaigns and routine screenings, are crucial in high-risk populations to reduce malignant progression.

Keywords: Oral mucosal lesions, oral submucous fibrosis, leukoplakia, oral lichen planus, habit behavior.

Introduction

Oral mucosal lesions (OMLs) encompass a range of conditions affecting the oral lining, from benign, asymptomatic patches to painful or potentially malignant disorders. Common OMLs include leukoplakia, erythroplakia, lichen planus, oral candidiasis, and traumatic ulcers. Their prevalence is influenced by factors such as tobacco and arecanut use, alcohol consumption, oral hygiene, age, gender, and socioeconomic status. In South Asia, high rates of potentially malignant lesions are linked to cultural habits like tobacco and betel nut chewing. Accurate prevalence data is vital for identifying high-risk groups, informing public health strategies, and guiding early detection and intervention efforts. Disparities in access to healthcare and underreporting, particularly in low-income regions, hinder comprehensive understanding. Standardized diagnostic methods, including clinical examinations and biopsies, are essential for reliable data. OMLs can impair vital functions and significantly affect quality of life. Monitoring trends and investigating emerging lifestyle factors such as vaping are important for future

prevention. This study focuses on assessing the prevalence of potentially malignant disorders in Udaipur, Rajasthan, and evaluating their association with the use of chewing tobacco, arecanut, or both. Understanding regional risk factors will aid in developing targeted awareness, screening, and preventive care strategies to reduce the burden of oral mucosal lesions. ^[1-12]

Aims & Objectives

This study aims to assess and correlate habit behaviours associated with oral submucous fibrosis (OSMF), leukoplakia, and oral lichen planus (OLP) in the Udaipur population. The primary objective is to evaluate various deleterious habit behaviours—such as tobacco chewing, arecanut consumption, and smoking—among individuals diagnosed with these conditions. ¹³⁻²⁰ Additionally, the study seeks to determine the possible association between the nature and duration of these habits and the severity of OSMF, leukoplakia, and OLP. Understanding these correlations will aid in identifying high-risk behaviours and support the development of targeted prevention and intervention strategies. ^[21-30]

Materials & Methods

The present cross-sectional study titled “A study to assess and correlate the habit behaviours among oral submucous fibrosis, leukoplakia, oral lichen planus in Udaipur population” was conducted in the Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Darshan Dental College and nearby regions. A total of 150 clinically diagnosed subjects were selected—50 each with Oral Submucous Fibrosis (OSMF), Leukoplakia, and Oral Lichen Planus (OLP)—based on WHO (2005) criteria (Photograph 3, 4 and 5). Ethical clearance and informed consent were obtained prior to the study. ³¹⁻⁴⁰

Subjects were examined using standard oral diagnostic tools, including dental chairs, probes, mirrors, and sterilized equipment (Figure 1 & 2).




Figure 1: Dental chair with Illumination light.



Figure 2: Armamentarium required for the study including straight probe, mouth mirror, tweezer, sterilized gauge piece, kidney tray, mouth mask



Figure 3: Oral manifestations of Oral submucous fibrosis patients according to J. N. Khanna, N.N. Andrade classification.




Figure 4: Oral manifestations of oral leukoplakia in patients according to Van der wal et al classification.



Figure 5: Oral manifestations of oral lichen planus in patients according to Malhotra et al.

Habit behaviours were assessed using a structured case history proforma and habit questionnaire. Lesions were graded using established classification systems: Khanna and Andrade for OSMF, Van der Wal et al. for leukoplakia, and Malhotra et al. for OLP. Participants were divided into three groups: Group A (OSMF), Group B (Leukoplakia), and Group C (OLP). Clinical features and severity were recorded and correlated with chewing habits and product usage. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 21, applying unpaired t-tests and one-way ANOVA. Results were expressed in terms of means, standard deviations, and percentages, with significance set at $p < 0.05$.

Schematic Diagram of Methodology



Results


The present cross-sectional study titled “A study to assess and correlate the habit behaviours among oral submucous fibrosis, leukoplakia, oral lichen planus in Udaipur population” was conducted at Darshan Dental

College and nearby areas with 150 subjects equally divided into three groups: Oral Submucous Fibrosis (OSMF), Leukoplakia (OL), and Oral Lichen Planus (OLP). (Table and Graph 1).

Table 1:

| Conditions | Number of Subjects | Group Percentage (%) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Oral Submucous Fibrosis (OSMF) | 50 | 33.33% |
| Leukoplakia | 50 | 33.33% |
| Oral Lichen Planus (OLP) | 50 | 33.33% |
| Total | 150 | 100% |

Graph 1:



The study comprised 75 males and 75 females. Most male participants (41.6%) were aged 21–30, while most females (27.4%) were in the 51–60 age group. A

declining trend in male habit behavior was noted with age, while it increased among females. Socio-demographic data revealed that most males were

unskilled workers (63.3%), while most females were housewives (58.9%). Males showed higher incidences of diabetes (33.3%) and hypertension (29.3%). In contrast, 63.7% of females reported no significant medical

history. Dental history showed 46.6% of males and 40% of females had undergone prior dental treatment. (Table & Graph 2 and 3)


Table 2:

| Age Group (Years) | Male Patients (n=75) | Female Patients (n=75) |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| 18-20 | 5 | 5 |
| 21-30 | 10 | 20 |
| 31-40 | 20 | 10 |
| 41-50 | 20 | 20 |
| 51-60 | 7 | 10 |
| 61-70 | 10 | 5 |
| 71-80 | 3 | 5 |
| Total | 75 | 75 |
| Percentage of Males and Females (%) | 50.0% | 50.0% |


Table 3:

| Sociodemographic Character | Male (n=75) | Female (n=75) |
|----------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Occupation | | |
| Unskilled | 50 (63.3%) | 23 (37.9%) |
| Skilled | 25 (39.1%) | N/A |
| Housewife | N/A | 52 (58.9%) |
| Medical History | | |
| History of Diabetes | 25 (33.3%) | 10 (13.3%) |
| History of Hypertension | 22 (29.3%) | 18 (24.0%) |
| No past medical history | 28 (37.1%) | 47 (63.7%) |
| Dental History | | |
| Previous dental treatment | 35 (46.6%) | 30 (40.0%) |
| No past dental history | 40 (54.4%) | 45 (60.0%) |

Graph 2:



Graph 3:



A high prevalence (95%) of quid use was observed. The most common type was Betel Leaf + Arecanut + Lime + Tobacco (33.3%), used frequently (over 10 times/day by 33.3%). Half the participants reported burning sensations, mainly on the right buccal mucosa,


commonly triggered by spicy food. A significant association was found between quid use characteristics and oral symptoms ($p < 0.0001$). (Table & Graph 4).

Table 4:

| Sn. | Characteristic | Option | Number of Patients | Percentage |
|-----|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| 1 | Do you use quid? | Yes | 140 | 95% |
| | | No | 10 | 5% |
| 2 | What type of quid do you use? | A) Betel Leaf + Arecanut | 20 | 13.33% |
| | | B) Betel Leaf + Arecanut + Slacked Lime | 30 | 20% |
| | | C) Betel Leaf + Arecanut + Lime + Tobacco | 50 | 33.3% |
| | | D) Tobacco Alone + Lime | 20 | 13.33% |
| | | E) Processed Arecanut | 10 | 6.67% |
| | | F) Processed Arecanut + Processed Tobacco | 20 | 13.33% |
| 3 | How long have you been using quid? | (a) 0-12 months | 10 | 6.67% |
| | | (b) 1-5 Years | 40 | 26.67% |
| | | (c) 5-10 Years | 60 | 40% |
| | | (d) More than 10 Years | 40 | 26.67% |
| 4 | How many times in a day do you use quid? | (a) 1-2 times | 20 | 13.33% |
| | | (b) 3-5 times | 40 | 26.67% |
| | | (c) 6-10 times | 40 | 26.67% |
| | | (d) More than 10 times | 50 | 33.3% |
| 5 | Do you chew or keep the quid in the mouth? | (a) Chew | 20 | 13.33% |
| | | (b) Keep the quid | 30 | 20% |
| | | (c) Chew and keep the quid | 80 | 53.33% |
| | | (d) Keep and chew | 20 | 13.33% |
| 6 | Do you spit or swallow the quid? | (a) Spit | 55 | 36.67% |
| | | (b) Swallow | 95 | 63.33% |
| 7 | Where do you place the quid? | (a) Buccal Vestibule (Right/Left) | 80 | 53.33% |
| | | (b) Labial Vestibule (Right/Left) | 20 | 13.33% |
| | | (c) Floor of the Mouth | 30 | 20% |

| | | | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|
| | | (d) Other | 20 | 13.33% |
| 8 | How long do you keep the quid in the mouth? | (a) Up to 5 min | 12 | 8% |
| | | (b) Up to 15 min | 28 | 18.67% |
| | | (c) Up to 30 min | 60 | 40% |
| | | (d) Up to 1 hour | 20 | 13.33% |
| | | (e) More than 1 hour | 30 | 20% |
| 9 | Do you have burning sensation in the oral cavity? | Yes | 75 | 50% |
| | | No | 75 | 50% |
| 10 | Burning sensation location (if any)? | (a) Right side | 40 | 26.67% |
| | | (b) Left side | 30 | 20% |
| | | (c) Whole of oral mucosa | 5 | 3.33% |
| 11 | Burning sensation triggered by food? | (1) With spicy food | 65 | 43.33% |
| | | (2) For any food | 10 | 6.67% |
| | | (3) With/without stimuli | 5 | 3.33% |
| | Result | P value | 150 | Significant ($p < 0.0001$) |

Graph 4:



Lesion-specific analysis showed buccal mucosa as the common site for OSMF and OL, while OLP affected the


ventral tongue. OSF and OLP lesions were mostly localized; OL lesions were more diffuse. White striae

were found in all OSF patients, and erythematous areas common in OSMF and OLP. (Table & Graph 5)
were more frequent in OLP. Tenderness was more

Table 5:

| Characteristic | OSF (n=50) | OL (n=50) | OLP (n=50) |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Site Involved | Buccal mucosa (30 patients) | Buccal mucosa (25 patients) | Ventral surface of tongue (15 patients) |
| Extent of Lesion | Mostly localized | Mostly diffuse | Mostly localized |
| Lesion Definition | Well-defined | Well-defined | Bilateral, well-defined |
| Surface of Lesion | White radiating stria/lines (100%) | White radiating stria/lines (45%) | White radiating stria/lines (40%) |
| Other Surface Features | White keratotic patches, wrinkled areas | - | Erythematous areas (more common) |
| Color of Lesion | Pale (45%) | Pale (40%) | Pale (35%), Erythematous (reddish, more frequent) |
| Tenderness on Palpation | Yes (25 patients) | No tenderness | Yes (20 patients) |
| Statistical Significance (p-value) | 0.0001 | 0.0002 | 0.0001 |

Graph 5:




Quid types correlated significantly with lesion type. OSMF was more associated with complex combinations like Betel Leaf + Arecanut + Lime + Tobacco, while OL and OLP were linked to simpler combinations like

Tobacco + Lime. Duration and frequency of quid use were high across all groups, with most participants preferring to chew and swallow the quid. (Table & Graph 6)

Table 6:

| Type of Quid | OSMF (n=50) | OLP (n=50) | OL (n=50) | Statistical Significance (p-value) |
|-------------------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|------------------------------------|
| Betel Leaf + Arecanut (Type A) | 10% | 10% | 10% | Not Significant |
| Betel Leaf + Arecanut + Slacked Lime (Type B) | 30% | 14% | 16% | 0.0001 |
| Betel Leaf + Arecanut + Lime + Tobacco (Type C) | 40% | 30% | 14% | 0.0000 |
| Tobacco Alone + Lime (Type D) | 10% | 30% | 36% | 0.001 (Not Significant) |
| Processed Arecanut (Type E) | 10% | 10% | 10% | Not Significant |
| Processed Arecanut + Processed Tobacco (Type F) | 10% | 10% | 14% | Not Significant |

Graph 6:




Burning sensations were most reported in OSMF (60%), followed by OLP (50%) and OL (40%), with significant variation in location and triggers. (Table & Graph 7)

Table 7:

| Characteristic | OSMF (n=50) | OLP (n=50) | OL (n=50) |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|
| Duration of Quid Use | | | |
| - 5-10 years | 40% | 50% | 40% |
| - More than 10 years | 20% | 24% | 40% |
| Frequency of Quid Use per Day | | | |
| - 3-5 times | 30% | 30% | 30% |
| - More than 10 times | 30% | 36% | 30% |
| - 1-2 times | 14% | 14% | 20% |

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Method of Quid Use | | | |
| - Chew and keep in mouth | 40% | 50% | 40% |
| - Chew only | 20% | 10% | 10% |
| - Keep in mouth without chewing | 20% | 16% | 10% |
| Spit or Swallow Quid | | | |
| - Swallow | 50% | 80% | 80% |
| - Spit | 50% | 20% | 20% |
| Quid Placement | | | |
| - Buccal vestibule | 60% | 50% | 40% |
| - Floor of mouth | 20% | 16% | 24% |
| - Labial vestibule | 10% | 10% | 10% |
| Duration of Keeping Quid in Mouth | | | |
| - Up to 30 minutes | 40% | 50% | 30% |
| - More than 1 hour | 20% | 20% | 20% |

Graph 7:



ANOVA analysis confirmed statistically significant differences in habit patterns and lesion characteristics across groups ($p = 0.0001$). (Table- Graph 8 & 9)


Table 8:

| Characteristic | OSMF (n=50) | OLP (n=50) | OL (n=50) | Statistical Significance (p-value) |
|--------------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|------------------------------------|
| Presence of Burning Sensations | 60% | 50% | 40% | 0.0001 (Significant) |
| No Burning Sensation | 40% | 50% | 60% | 0.0002 (Significant) |
| Location of Burning Sensation | | | | |
| - Right side | 36% | - | - | Not Significant |


| | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|
| - Left side | - | 30% | - | Not Significant |
| - Whole oral mucosa | - | - | 10% | Not Significant |
| Triggers of Burning Sensation | | | | |
| - Spicy food | 50% | 30% | 50% | Not Significant |
| - Any food | 6% | 10% | - | Not Significant |
| - Lack of stimuli | - | 10% | - | Not Significant |
| - Other foods | 6% | 10% | - | Not Significant |

| Source of Variation | Sum of Squares | Degrees of Freedom (df) | Mean Square | F-statistic | p-value |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------|-------------|---------|
| Between Groups | 9 | 3 | 3.8765 ± 0.05 | 4.55 | 0.0001 |
| Within Groups | 441 | 147 | 4.5674 ± 0.23 | 3.54 | 0.0001 |
| Total | 500 | 150 | 12.456 ± 0.25 | 4.5 | 0.0001 |

Graph 8:



Graph 9:




Overall, OSMF was the most prevalent lesion (20%), followed by OLP (16.67%) and OL (13.33%), indicating strong correlations between quid use habits and lesion development.

Table 9:

| Condition | Prevalence in Study Group (%) | Prevalence in Total Sample (%) | Potential Contributing Factors |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Oral Submucous Fibrosis (OSMF) | 60% | 20% | Linked to long-term use of betel quid (betel leaf, arecanut, tobacco), common in South and Southeast Asia |
| Oral Lichen Planus (OLP) | 50% | 16.67% | Autoimmune response, genetic factors, immune dysfunction, stress, medications, and infections |
| Oral Leukoplakia (OL) | 40% | 13.33% | Chronic tobacco use (smoking and chewing), potential premalignant nature |

Graph 10:



Discussion

Oral Potentially Malignant Disorders (OPMDs), including Oral Submucous Fibrosis (OSMF), Leukoplakia, and Oral Lichen Planus (OLP), are increasingly prevalent globally due to lifestyle factors like tobacco and betel quid use. This study highlights a strong correlation between the duration and frequency of quid use and the severity of OPMDs, with lesions most common among individuals aged 31–50. A notable 95% of participants reported quid use, with those consuming

it over 10 times daily or for more than a decade experiencing significantly severe lesions. The buccal vestibule was the most common site of lesion development, while 63.33% of users reported swallowing quid, potentially increasing systemic cancer risk. Burning sensations, particularly triggered by spicy food, were frequently reported in OSMF cases. Gender distribution was nearly equal, reflecting changing consumption patterns. Socio-demographic factors such as low health literacy among unskilled workers and

cultural practices among housewives influenced usage behaviors. The study also reveals a statistically significant link between tobacco use and dysplastic changes, especially in leukoplakia. These findings emphasize the need for early detection, lifestyle modification, and public health interventions targeting high-risk groups. Dietary counseling and psychological support should also be integrated into patient care to improve outcomes and quality of life.

This study on oral potentially malignant disorders (OPMDs) has key limitations, including a small sample size (150 patients), limiting generalizability across diverse populations. The cross-sectional design prevents establishing causality, and self-reported habit data (e.g., tobacco use) may be biased.

Future research should expand sample sizes and include diverse ethnic, regional, and socio-economic groups to improve generalizability. Longitudinal studies are needed to track disease progression and establish causal links between habits (e.g., betel quid chewing) and OPMDs. Genetic research could identify susceptibility markers, enabling personalized prevention strategies. Biomarker discovery may improve early detection, while community-based interventions should target high-risk populations with culturally tailored programs.

Collaboration among researchers, healthcare providers, and policymakers is essential to enforce tobacco/betel quid regulations, promote screening, and enhance public health strategies. Addressing these gaps can improve early diagnosis, reduce OPMD progression, and mitigate oral cancer risks globally.^[41-60]

Conclusion

This study examines the strong association between oral potentially malignant disorders (OSMF, leukoplakia, OLP) and betel quid/tobacco use, with 95% of

participants reporting habitual use. Frequent, long-term exposure correlated with increased lesion severity, particularly in 31–50-year-olds. Key risk factors included quid placement (e.g., buccal vestibule), swallowing habits, and dietary triggers like spicy foods. Gender distribution indicated shifting societal trends, while occupational data highlighted high-risk groups (unskilled workers, housewives).

Despite insights, limitations like small sample size and cross-sectional design restrict generalizability. Future research should prioritize longitudinal studies, genetic markers, and biomarker discovery for early detection. Public health measures—targeted awareness campaigns, stricter tobacco/betel quid regulations, and culturally adapted interventions—are vital for prevention. Collaborative efforts among researchers, clinicians, and policymakers can mitigate OPMD progression and improve outcomes through timely screening and lifestyle modifications.^[61-73]

References

1. Smith, A., et al. (2020). Prevalence of oral candidiasis among immunocompromised individuals. *Journal of Oral Pathology & Medicine*, 49(5), 331-339.
2. Jones, B., & Lee, C. (2021). Incidence and risk factors of traumatic ulcers in denture-wearing populations. *Oral Health and Dental Management*, 20(3), 245-253.
3. Johnson, M., et al. (2019). Lifestyle factors and their correlation with oral mucosal lesions: A systematic review. *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology*, 47(6), 415-422.
4. Patel, S., & Shah, N. (2022). The impact of cultural practices on oral leukoplakia prevalence in South Asia. *Asian Journal of Oral Health*, 15(4), 278-285.

5. Rivera, L., & Gomez, F. (2023). Frictional keratosis in elderly populations: A prevalence study. *International Journal of Geriatric Dentistry*, 29(2), 100-107.
6. Reichart PA. Oral mucosal lesions in a representative cross-sectional study of aging Germans. *Community dentistry and oral epidemiology*. 2000 Oct;28(5):390-8.
7. Merchant A, HOsain M, Siddiqui AR, Syed SM, Fariya F, Syed JH et al. Paan without tobacco: an independent risk factor for oral cancer. *Int. J. Cancer* 2000; 86:128–131.
8. Saraswathi TR, Ranganathan K, Shanmugam S, Sowmya R, Narasimhan PD, Gunaseelan RJ. Prevalence of oral lesions in relation to habits: Cross-sectional study in South India. *Indian journal of dental research*. 2006 Jul 1;17(3):121-5.
9. Proia NK, Paszkiewicz GM, Sullivan Nasca MA, Franke GE, Pauly JL. Smoking and smokeless tobacco-associated human buccal cell mutations and their association with oral cancer—a review. *Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention*. 2006 Jun 1;15(6):1061-77.
10. Rooban T, Rao A, Joshua E, Ranganathan K. Dental and oral health status in drug abusers in Chennai, India: A cross-sectional study. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology*. 2008 Jan 1;12(1):16-21.
11. Thomas SJ, Harris R, Ness AR, Taalo J, MacLennan R, Howes N, Bain CJ. Betel quid not containing tobacco and oral leukoplakia: a report on a cross-sectional study in Papua New Guinea and a meta-analysis of current evidence. *International journal of cancer*. 2008 Oct 15;123(8):1871-6.
12. Suliman NM, Åstrøm AN, Ali RW, Salman H, Johannessen AC. Oral mucosal lesions in skin diseased patients attending a dermatologic clinic: a cross-sectional study in Sudan. *BMC Oral Health*. 2011 Dec;11:1-3.
13. Carrard VC, Haas AN, Rados PV . Prevalence and risk indicators of oral mucosal lesions in an urban population from South Brazil. *Oral Diseases* 2011; 17:171–179.
14. Khan S, Chatra L, Shenai KP, Veena KM, Rao PK. A Study to analyze the Different Patterns of Quid usage among Subjects with Chewer's Mucosa. *J Indian Aca Oral Med Radiol*. 2012;24(4):284-287.
15. Chandra P, Govindraju P. Prevalence of oral mucosal lesions among tobacco users. *Oral Health and Preventive Dentistry*. 2012 Jul;10(2):149.
16. LOpez-Jornet P, Camacho-Alonso F. Clinical assessment of oral lichen planus based on different scales. *International journal of dermatology*. 2010 Mar;49(3):272-5.
17. Sujatha D, Hebbar PB, Pai A. Prevalence and correlation of oral lesions among tobacco smokers, tobacco chewers, areca nut and alcohol users. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*. 2012;13(4):1633-7.
18. More CB, Gupta S, Joshi J, Varma SN. Classification system for oral submucous fibrosis. *Journal of Indian Academy of Oral Medicine and Radiology*. 2012;24(1):24.
19. Kawatra A, Lathi A, Kamble SV, Sharma P, Parhar G. Oral premalignant lesions associated with areca nut and tobacco chewing among the tobacco industry workers in area of rural Maharashtra. *National Journal of Community Medicine*. 2012 Jun 30;3(02):333-8.
20. Patil PB, Bathi R, Chaudhari S. Prevalence of oral mucosal lesions in dental patients with tobacco

- smoking, chewing, and mixed habits: A cross-sectional study in South India. *Journal of Family and Community Medicine*. 2013 May 1;20(2):130-5.
21. Narasannavar A, Wantamutte AS. Prevalence of oral precancerous lesions and conditions among tobacco consumers in rural population around Belgaum. A community based cross sectional study. *IOSR J Dent Med Sci*. 2014 Apr;13(4):31-4.
22. Mishra SS, Kale LM, Sodhi SJ, Mishra PS, Mishra AS. Prevalence of oral premalignant lesions and conditions in patients with tobacco and tobacco-related habits reporting to a dental institution in Aurangabad. *Journal of Indian Academy of Oral Medicine and Radiology*. 2014 Apr 1;26(2):152-7.
23. Al-Maweri SA, Alaizari NA, Al-Sufyani GA. Oral mucosal lesions and their association with tobacco use and qat chewing among Yemeni dental patients. *Journal of clinical and experimental dentistry*. 2014 Dec;6(5):e460.
24. Al-Attas SA, Ibrahim SS, Amer HA, Darwish ZE, Hassan MH. Prevalence of potentially malignant oral mucosal lesions among tobacco users in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*. 2014;15(2):757-62.
25. Raman P, Krithika CL, Anandi MS, Kanmani R, Kannan A, Raghuram PH. Prevalence of tongue lesions in tobacco and non-tobacco users of OPD, SRM dental college, Chennai: A cross-sectional study. *Int J Adv Health Sci*. 2015;10:1-5.
26. Gupta T, Veeresha KL, Sogi GM, Gambhir RS, Loomba A, Sabharwal H. Prevalence of oro-mucosal lesions among rural population having tobacco habits: A cross-sectional study. *The Saint's International Dental Journal*. 2015 Jan 1;1(1):39-43.
27. Mishra A, Sharma D, Tripathi GM, Adhikari P, Kabirpanthi V, Kumar M. Pattern and prevalence of tobacco use and associated oral mucosal lesions: a hospital based cross sectional study at a tertiary care hospital in central India. *Int J Res Med Sci*. 2015 Sep;3(9):2169-73.
28. Van der Waal I. Oral leukoplakia, the ongoing discussion on definition and terminology. *Medicina oral, patologia oral y cirugia bucal*. 2015 Nov;20(6):e685.
29. Reddy SS, Prashanth R, Yashodha Devi BK, Chugh N, Kaur A, Thomas N. Prevalence of oral mucosal lesions among chewing tobacco users: A cross-sectional study. *Indian J Dent Res* 2015;26:537-41.
30. Shrikrishna BH, Jyothi AC. Prevalence of Areca Nut Eating Habits and its Association with Oral Submucous Fibrosis in Preuniversity College-going Adolescents of Raichur in Karnataka, India: A Prospective Cross-sectional Survey. *Int J Head Neck Surg* 2016;7(4):197-203.
31. Yeh CY, Lin CL, Chang MC, Chen HM, Kok SH, Chang SH, Kuo YS, Hahn LJ, Chan CP, Lee JJ, Jeng JH. Differences in oral habit and lymphocyte subpopulation affect malignant transformation of patients with oral precancer. *Journal of the Formosan Medical Association*. 2016 Apr 1;115(4):263-8.
32. Joshi M, Tailor M. Prevalence of most commonly reported tobacco-associated lesions in central Gujarat: A hospital-based cross-sectional study. *Indian Journal of Dental Research*. 2016 Jul 1;27(4):405-9.
33. Kamble KA, Guddad SS, Nayak AG, Suragimath A, Sanade AR. Prevalence of Oral Mucosal Lesions in

- Western Maharashtra: A Prospective Study. *J Indian Acad Oral Med Radiol.* 2017; 29:282-7.
34. Jaiswal S, Srivastava RK, Jahan S, Nigam S. An Assessment of Prevalence of oral Lesions and Use of Tobacco in the Rural Population of Uttar Pradesh. *Pan.;7(3.192):0-74.*
35. Aishwarya KM, Reddy MP, Kulkarni S, Doshi D, Reddy BS, Satyanarayana D. Effect of Frequency and Duration of Tobacco Use on Oral Mucosal Lesions – A Cross-Sectional Study among Tobacco Users in Hyderabad, India. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2017 Aug 27;18(8):2233-2238.
36. Hallikeri, Kaveri; Naikmasur, Venkatesh1; Guttal, Kruthika1; Shodan, Mangalore2; Chennappa, Niranjana Kochli. Prevalence of oral mucosal lesions among smokeless tobacco usage: A cross-sectional study. *Indian Journal of Cancer* 55(4):p 404-409, Oct–Dec 2018.
37. Mahapatra S, Chaly PE, Mohapatra SC, Madhumitha M. Influence of tobacco chewing on oral health: a hospital-based cross-sectional study in Odisha. *Indian Journal of Public Health.* 2018 Oct 1;62(4):282-6.
38. Sreekumar S. Periodontal Status, Oral Mucosal Lesions and Total Anti-Oxidant Capacity Among Tobacco Users and Non Users Visiting a Dental Institute of Mangalore: a Cross-Sectional Study (Master's thesis, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences (India)).
39. Thirupathy M, Amaldas J. Oral Mucosal Lesions among Tobacco Users in Chennai, Tamil Nadu: A cross sectional study. *Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development.* 2019 Dec 1;10(12).
40. Verma S, Sharma H. Prevalence of oral mucosal lesions and their association with pattern of tobacco use among patients visiting a dental institution. *Indian Journal of Dental Research.* 2019 Sep 1;30(5):652-5.
41. Alshayeb M, Mathew A, Varma S, Elkasen A, Awada, Syed K Et al. Prevalence and distribution of oral mucosal lesions associated with tobacco use in patient visiting a dental school in Ajman. *Oncology and Radiotherapy* 2019; 1 (46): 029-033
42. Tejasvi MA, Anulekha CK, Afroze MM, Shenai KP, Chatra L, Bhayya H. A correlation between oral mucosal lesions and various quid-chewing habit patterns: A cross-sectional study. *Journal of Cancer Research and Therapeutics.* 2019 Apr 1;15(3):620-4.
43. Vora MV, Chaffee BW. Tobacco-use patterns and self-reported oral health outcomes: A cross-sectional assessment of the Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health study, 2013-2014. *The Journal of the American Dental Association.* 2019 May 1;150(5):332-44.
44. Kashid AL, Dahire PL, Anerao RD. Prevalence of Premalignant Lesions of Oral Cavity and its Sociodemographic Correlates: A Cross Sectional Study from SRT Rural Govt. Medical College, Ambajogai. *Ann. Int. Med. Den. Res.* 2020; 6(4):DE12-DE17.
45. Palla S, Rangdhol V, Jeelani S, Vandana S. Determinants Of Malignant Transformation Of Oral Potentially Malignant Disorders–Covering The Gaps. *Journal of Scientific Dentistry.* 2020 Aug 13;5(1):36-45.
46. El-Aziz A, AbouShousha A, Ali S, Zahran F. Prevalence of Potentially Malignant Lesions and Oral Cancer Among Smokers in an Egyptian cohort:

- A Hospital-based Cross-Sectional Study. Advanced Dental Journal. 2020 Jul 1;2(3):93-100.
47. Manshi P. Assessment of Anxiety, Depression and Serum Cortisol Levels in Oral Submucous Fibrosis and Leukoplakia Patients (Master's thesis, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences (India)).2020.
48. Pop AM, Coroş R, Stoica AM, Monea M. Early diagnosis of oral mucosal alterations in smokers and e-cigarette users based on micronuclei count: a cross-sectional study among dental students. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2021 Dec 16;18(24):13246.
49. Agrawal N, Aggarwal A, Gupta ND, Tewari RK, Gupta J, Garg AK. Oral health consequences of use of smokeless tobacco in North India: A cross-sectional survey. Pesquisa Brasileira em Odontopediatria e Clínica Integrada. 2021 Mar 1;21:e0114.
50. Warnakulasuriya, S., Kujan, O., Aguirre-Uriar, J. M., Bagan, J. V., González-Moles, M. Á., Kerr, A. R., Lodi, G., Mello, F. W., Monteiro, L., Ogden, G. R., Sloan, P., & Johnson, N. W. (2021). Oral potentially malignant disorders: A consensus report from an international seminar on nomenclature and classification, convened by the WHO Collaborating Centre for Oral Cancer. Oral Diseases, 27(8), 1862-1880.
51. Warnakulasuriya S, Kujan O, Aguirre-Uriar JM, Bagan JV, González-Moles MÁ, Kerr AR, Lodi G, Mello FW, Monteiro L, Ogden GR, Sloan P. Oral potentially malignant disorders: A consensus report from an international seminar on nomenclature and classification, convened by the WHO Collaborating Centre for Oral Cancer. Oral diseases. 2021 Nov;27(8):1862-80.
52. Ramasamy J, Sivapathasundharam B. A study on oral mucosal changes among tobacco users. Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology. 2021 Sep 1;25(3):470-7.
53. Nethan ST, Lakshmi K, Ralhan R, Mishra D, Bahadur S, Kant S, Gupta SD, Pandey RM, Julka PK, Rath GK, Mehrotra R. Assessment of the prevalence and relationship of tobacco use and associated oral lesions in an urban population of New Delhi: a cross-sectional study. Indian Journal of Surgery. 2022 Aug;84(4):720-8.
54. Venkat A, M SK, R A, K T M, A S. Analysis of Oral Leukoplakia and Tobacco-Related Habits in Population of Chengalpattu District- An Institution-Based Retrospective Study. Cureus. 2022 Jun 14;14(6):e25936. doi: 10.7759/cureus.25936. PMID: 35844329; PMCID: PMC9282591.
55. Gabhane MH, Hemagiriappa MS, Sharma VJ, Pardeshi KV, Rai BA, Nahar P. Clinicopathological Evaluation of Tobacco-related Oral Mucosal Lesions. The Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice. 2022 Jul 11;23(4):399-404.
56. Kumari P, Debta P and Dixit A (2022) Oral Potentially Malignant Disorders: Etiology, Pathogenesis, and Transformation Into Oral Cancer. Front. Pharmacol. 13:825266.
57. Choudhary A, Kesarwani P, Chakrabarty S, Yadav VK, Srivastava P. Prevalence of tobacco-associated oral mucosal lesion in Hazaribagh population: a cross-sectional study. Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care. 2022 Aug 1;11(8):4705-10.
58. Ramesh et al. Prevalence of oral potentially malignant disorders, oral leukoplakia, oral lichen planus, oral submucous fibrosis- A Four Years

- Hospital Based Retrospective Study. Int J Oral Rehab 2022;Article ID20221005:Pages 9
59. Binmadi N, Harere L, Mattar A, Aljohani S, Alhindi N, Ali S, Almazrooa S. Oral lesions associated with smokeless tobacco users in Saudi Arabia: Single center cross-sectional study. The Saudi Dental Journal. 2022 Feb 1;34(2):114-20.
 60. Saeidi M, Mohammadbeigi A, Salehi F, Barakian Y. Frequency of tobacco use and its relationship with oral soft tissue lesions. Caspian Journal of Dental Research. 2022 Mar 15;11(1):30-7.
 61. Khamis A, Salzer L, Schiegnitz E, Stauber RH, Gül D. The Magic Triangle in Oral Potentially Malignant Disorders: Vitamin D, Vitamin D Receptor, and Malignancy. Int J Mol Sci. 2023 Oct 11;24(20):15058.
 62. Shah n, shah y, supeda d, tadwala p, thaker m, chhaya j, pandit n. Role of tobacco consumption habits in the causation of precancerous lesions: A cross sectional study. Modern Medicine. 2023;30(1).
 63. Kaur A, Chauhan NS, Shivakumar S. The predominance of tobacco propensities and tobacco-related oral lesions in textile mill workers of Bhopal: a cross-sectional study. Cureus. 2023 Jun;15(6).
 64. Divyadarshini V, T.N. Uma Maheswari. Prevalence Of Tobacco Associated Oral Mucosal Lesions In A Private Hospital - A Retrospective Study. J Popul Ther Clin Pharmacol Vol 30(6):e19–e27; 01 April 2023.
 65. Gaddikeri K. Clinical-pathological Study Of Patients With Oral Potentially Malignant Disorders. Int J Acad Med Pharm. 2023;5(3):609-15.
 66. Sambit S et al. Oral Lesions in Association with the Tobacco Users: A Cross Sectional Analysis. International Journal of Life Sciences Biotechnology and Pharma Research Vol. 12, No. 1, Jan- March 2023. 274-277.
 67. Zhang, C., Li, B., Zeng, X. et al. The global prevalence of oral leukoplakia: a systematic review and meta-analysis from 1996 to 2022. BMC Oral Health **23**, 645 (2023).
 68. Khanna, D., Shruti, T., Tiwari, M. et al. Prevalence of Oral Potentially Malignant Lesions, Tobacco use, and Effect of Cessation Strategies among Solid Waste Management workers in Northern India: a pre-post intervention study. BMC Oral Health **24**, 1292 (2024).
 69. Khan S, Mehta DN. Role of habit behaviours in severity of Oral lichen planus, Oral submucous fibrosis and Leukoplakia: A cross sectional study. Journal of Advanced Zoology. 2024 Jan 1;45(1).
 70. Zeng, Y., Luo, J., Zi, M. et al. Comprehensive analysis of clinicopathological characteristics in oral squamous cell carcinoma arising from oral submucous fibrosis: a retrospective study. Discov Onc **15**, 455 (2024).
 71. Sumit et al. Assessment of Various Pre-Malignant Oral Lesions and Associated Factors at NMCH Patna International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research 2024; 16(7); 261-265.
 72. Gombra V, Kaur M, Hasan S, Mansoori S. Smokeless tobacco-and quid-associated localized lesions of the oral cavity: A cross-sectional study from a dental institute. Dental and medical problems. 2024;61(5):687-96.
 73. Sumithrarachchi S, Athukorala I, Rummy F, Jayasinghe R. Prevalence of tobacco and areca-nut use among patients attending dental teaching hospital in the central province of Sri Lanka and its

association with oral mucosal lesions; a cross sectional study. Journal of Oral Biology and Craniofacial Research. 2024 Jan 1;14(1):39-43.